

# **MSP for Minor Forest Produce**

#### Why in news?

\n\n

Union Cabinet recently approved a centrally sponsored scheme for providing Minimum Support Price (MSP) to forest dwellers for minor forest produce (MFP).

\n\n

#### What is the decision?

\n\n

∖n

• The Centre has notified a varied <u>hike</u> in MSP ranging from 200% to 5.6% for 19 MFPs.

\n

- The government has also added 17 more items (exiting 23) to the forest produce covered under the market support scheme.  $\n$
- [These include mahua flowers, dried tejpatta, jamun dried seeds, dried amla pulp (deseeded), soap nut (dried), Arjuna bark and Giloe among others.]  $\n$
- A total of 52 items is proposed to be brought under the MFP for MSP umbrella.

\n

- The notification puts out  $\underline{prices}$  for 40 items for now.  $\slash n$
- The Central government plans to spend around Rs. 960 crore while states would contribute about Rs. 250 crore.  $\n$
- The ministry of tribal affairs (MoTA) issued the notification and it is now for the states to implement this.  $\n$
- Tribal Cooperative Market Development Federation of India Ltd. (TRIFED) has also been given directions.
- They are to develop market linkage between state agencies (through State Nodal Department), and bulk users and buyers to enable implementation.  $\n$

\n\n

## What is the objective?

\n\n

∖n

- The scheme for providing MSP for minor forest produce comes on the lines of support price for agricultural products.  $\gamma_n$
- The decision is being taken in view of the general cost escalation on all fronts.

∖n

- The objective is to ensure fair and remunerative price to MFP gatherers.  $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- According to the ministry, nearly 5 crore tribals are expected to directly benefit from this revamped scheme.  $\n$

\n\n

#### What are the concerns?

\n\n

∖n

Funds - MSP system for minor forest produce had been introduced by the previous government as well.

\n\n

∖n

 $\bullet$  The centre has earmarked nearly Rs 1,100 crore for this programme in the past 5 years.

∖n

• But hardly 25% of this has been released to the states.

\n

- The bulk of even the disbursed funds has remained unutilised.  $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- Moreover, none of the major forested states has submitted the audited report on funds utilisation.
- Remuneration The minor forest resources have been made freely accessible to forest-dwellers under the Forest Rights Act.  $\n$
- These include mahua, tejpatta, wild honey and similar others that have several industrial, therapeutic and cosmetic uses.  $\n$

- However, the tribals, who gather them from the woods, do not get the fair remuneration for these articles.  $\n$
- It's because they normally have to sell them at meagre rates at local haats dominated by cartelised traders and contractors.  $\n$
- Middlemen Some state governments have acquired monopolistic marketing rights on the much sought-after forest products.
- E.g. tendu leaves, bamboo, tamarind
- But, the state agencies nominated to lend price support often prefer to buy the stuff from middlemen.  $\n$
- They fail to create the infrastructure for procuring it directly from individual collectors.

∖n

- Thus, for all practical purposes, the collectors of the minor forest produce are at the mercy of middlemen.  $\n$
- MSP States also do not pay the MSPs even though the Centre is supposed to bear 75% of the losses incurred on such operations.  $\n$
- Odisha is one of the few states which have opted to implement the MSP scheme for selected forest products.  $\gamman$
- But it is reported to be considering to discontinue the scheme because of the heavy financial burden.  $\n$

\n\n

## What is required?

\n\n

\n

- The government has largely failed to realise the futility of raising the MSPs of crops without their effective enforcement.  $\n$
- The need, therefore, is for well-advised marketing reforms in this sector.  $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- This should be aimed specifically at ending the middlemen's role over the minor forest produce trade.  $\n$
- Equally important is to encourage direct linkages between forest produce

gatherers and end-users of these products.

\n

- + E.g. the pharmaceutical, cosmetic and food-processing industries  $\n$
- These are essential to meaningfully complement the move to fix MSPs for the minor forest produce.  $\n$

\n\n

## Source: Times of India, Business Standard

