

## **Multiple Facets of the Agrarian Distress**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- While agrarian distress is already running high, the Economic Survey (2017-18) has forecasted a further dip in farmer incomes.

\n

- Hence, a focused policy framework is needed to reverse these trends.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the climate related aspects?**

\n\n

\n

- Based on analysis of climatic trends, the Survey has concluded that agricultural incomes could dip in the medium run by 15-18% on average.

\n

- More significantly, the number is touted to be as high as 20-25% in unirrigated areas, which comprises almost over half of the Indian farmland.

\n

- But efforts to promote climate-resilient agriculture have been lax.

\n

- The Survey has hence stressed the need for mitigating this vulnerability through the rapid extension of climate resilient technologies.

\n

- Hence, efficient drip and sprinkler irrigation technologies and replacing untargeted subsidies in power and fertilisers have been emphasised.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the other aspects?**

\n\n

\n

- Unabated shrinking of land holdings due to partitioning and persistent degradation of vital resources (such as land and water) is a major problem.

- \n
- Lack of adequate diversification of farming towards high-value agriculture, and the rural youths' disinterest in farming are also important factors.
- \n
- Effects of these factors on the performance of agriculture are already discernible as gross agri-GDP has remained almost static in the past 4 years.
- \n
- While the Survey seeks to hold two consecutive poor monsoons in 2014 and 2015 as partly responsible for this, the reality is otherwise.
- \n
- Notably, rural distress was more pronounced in the subsequent couple of years (2016 and 17), which were years of bumper harvests.
- \n
- This points the finger at misguided government policies concerning agricultural pricing, for the worsening plight in the farm sector.
- \n

\n\n

### **What are the pricing flaws?**

\n\n

- \n
- Price management policies have largely focused on containing food inflation for the benefit of consumers than in safeguarding farmers.
- \n
- The need, therefore, is to strike a fine balance between the interests of consumers and producers by developing better farm marketing systems.
- \n
- This requires addressing the existing inefficiencies and deficiencies of the markets run by the Agricultural Produce Marketing Committee (APMC).
- \n
- The dominance of intermediaries and cartels in agricultural trade needs to be restrained to give way to fair, transparent and competitive marketing.
- \n

\n\n

### **How does the future look?**

\n\n

- \n
- The government has committed to double farmer incomes by 2022, but the sector's projected growth of 2.1% doesn't seem coherent with this.
- \n
- Hence, the sector's growth needs to be several times higher and the survey

has done well to counsel the government to diversify income generating avenues.

\n

- Mitigating production as well price risks by incorporating allied sectors like livestock and fisheries in farming systems is hence needed.

\n

- Equally imperative is the need for greater deployment of science and technology in agriculture, which requires substantial investments.

\n

- Hence, avenues for bringing in these investments needs to be created.

\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n

