

Multiple Facets of the Agrarian Distress

What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- While agrarian distress is already running high, the Economic Survey (2017-18) has forecasted a further dip in farmer incomes. \n
- Hence, a focused policy framework is needed to reverse these trends. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$

\n\n

What are the climate related aspects?

\n\n

\n

- Based on analysis of climatic trends, the Survey has concluded that agricultural incomes could dip in the medium run by 15-18% on average. \n
- More significantly, the number is touted to be as high as 20-25% in unirrigated areas, which comprises almost over half of the Indian farmland. \n
- But efforts to promote climate-resilient agriculture have been lax. \slashn
- \bullet The Survey has hence stressed the need for mitigating this vulnerability through the rapid extension of climate resilient technologies. \n
- Hence, efficient drip and sprinkler irrigation technologies and replacing untargeted subsidies in power and fertilisers have been emphasised. \n

\n\n

What are the other aspects?

\n\n

∖n

• Unabated shrinking of land holdings due to partitioning and persistent degradation of vital resources (such as land and water) is a major problem.

∖n

- Lack of adequate diversification of farming towards high-value agriculture, and the rural youths' disinterest in farming are also important factors. \n
- Effects of these factors on the performance of agriculture are already discernible as gross agri-GDP has remained almost static in the past 4 years. \n
- While the Survey seeks to hold two consecutive poor monsoons in 2014 and 2015 as partly responsible for this, the reality is otherwise. \n
- Notably, rural distress was more pronounced in the subsequent couple of years (2016 and 17), which were years of bumper harvests. \n
- This points the finger at misguided government policies concerning agricultural pricing, for the worsening plight in the farm sector. \n

\n\n

What are the pricing flaws?

\n\n

\n

- Price management policies have largely focused on containing food inflation for the benefit of consumers than in safeguarding farmers. \n
- The need, therefore, is to strike a fine balance between the interests of consumers and producers by developing better farm marketing systems. \n
- This requires addressing the existing inefficiencies and deficiencies of the markets run by the Agricultural Produce Marketing Committee (APMC). \n
- The dominance of intermediaries and cartels in agricultural trade needs to be restrained to give way to fair, transparent and competitive marketing. \n

\n\n

How does the future look?

\n\n

∖n

- The government has committed to double farmer incomes by 2022, but the sector's projected growth of 2.1% doesn't seem coherent with this. \n
- Hence, the sector's growth needs to be several times higher and the survey

has done well to counsel the government to diversify income generating avenues.

\n

- Mitigating production as well price risks by incorporating allied sectors like livestock and fisheries in farming systems is hence needed. \n
- Equally imperative is the need for greater deployment of science and technology in agriculture, which requires substantial investments. \n
- Hence, avenues for bringing in these investments needs to be created. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$

\n\n

Source: Business Standard

