

## **National Security Advisor to chair Strategic Planning Group**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- The National Security Advisor (NSA) will now chair the Strategic Planning Group (SPG) as well, with Cabinet Secretary only as its member.

\n

- The decision reflects a lack of understanding of the nature and complexity of security challenges the country faces.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the existing security architecture?**

\n\n

\n

- Soon after India became a nuclear-weapon state in May 1998, the national security architecture underwent a significant change.

\n

- At the apex, a National Security Council (NSC) was set up with the Prime Minister (PM) as the chairman.

\n

- Its permanent members included ministers of home, defence, external affairs and finance.

\n

- The NSC was provided with a secretariat.

\n

- A new post of National Security Advisor (NSA - currently Mr. Ajit Doval) was created to serve as the Secretary of the NSC.

\n

- In addition, a Strategic Planning Group (SPG) was established under the chairmanship of the Cabinet Secretary.

\n

- The SPG included

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. all the key secretaries to the government  
\n
- ii. the three armed forces chiefs  
\n
- iii. the head of the external intelligence (R&AW)  
\n
- iv. the Director of the Intelligence Bureau  
\n

\n\n

- \n
- A National Security Advisory Board (NSAB) was also set up.  
\n
- It comprised of a number of retired civil and military officials, and it had direct access to the PM.  
\n
- After the Kargil war in 1999, a Defence Intelligence Agency was set up to coordinate military-related intelligence.  
\n
- Also, a Nuclear Command Authority (NCA) was set up to manage India's nuclear weapon arsenal.  
\n
- In essence, the stress was on providing political leadership with multiple sources of information on security issues.  
\n

\n\n

### **How is the NSA's role evolving?**

\n\n

- \n
- The role of the NSA was that of a key advisor to the government on longer-term strategic issues.  
\n
- The NSA did not have a say in the day-to-day security issues.  
\n
- However, in recent years, the NSA, by virtue of location in the PM's Office, has become an influential figure.  
\n
- This remains the case even though there is no constitutional sanction for the post.  
\n
- The NSA's responsibilities have been expanded sharply.  
\n
- He now chairs the Defence Planning Group, with responsibility for military

planning, even as the NSAB's role has been downgraded.

\n

- Further, the role of the chairman of the Joint Intelligence Committee, too, has been downgraded.

\n

- The NSA is also the head of the Executive Council of the NCA.

\n

- So in essence, the political leadership will have intelligence inputs and security assessments processed at the level of NSA.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the concern now?**

\n\n

\n

- The inadequate response to the 2008 Mumbai terror attack is to be noted in this regard.

\n

- In this case, ad hoc decisions were taken, and no single individual could manage such diverse and fast-changing situations.

\n

- Given this, the increased reliance on the NSA raises serious questions as NSA is merely an advisor.

\n

- If he goes wrong, it is unclear where the accountability would lie.

\n

- Also, there might not be an opportunity for dissenting opinions being placed.

\n

- It is thus essential for the government to realise that even a highly centralised state could not afford to have a singular channel for the flow of such critical information.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n