

## Need for a New Antique Law

### What is the issue?

\n\n

The recent happenings over antique collecting across India draw attention to the shortfalls in the related laws and understanding.

\n\n

### What is the anomaly?

\n\n

\n

- A civilisational history cannot be constructed purely by an archaeological agency, despite it being an important component.

\n

- Other groups such as littérateurs, historians, anthropologists and curators also contribute valuable insights into the material culture.

\n

- However, the framing of laws has not happened in conjunction with any of these disciplines.

\n

- This was because at the time of framing law, the agenda was to preserve India's material culture.

\n

- But that rationality at the time of India's Independence, no longer fits in with the present requirements.

\n

- The reality and needs of a modern-day state that seeks to understand its past is different.

\n

\n\n

### What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- The Antiquities and Art Treasures Act, 1972 has long outlived the purpose for which it was drafted.

\n

- A promised amendment has been floated on the website of the Union Ministry of Culture, but its status is still largely unknown.

\n

- **Understanding** - Importance is ascribed by virtue of religious sentiment, age or provenance to every significant and insignificant work of art.

\n

- But this hampers purposes of scholarship or understanding of what constitutes a beautiful work of art or a national treasure.

\n

- The view that once-sacred objects today only belong to temples is a myopic view and stems from a lack of understanding of -

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. the role and purpose of these objects

\n

- ii. the temple economy that maintained them

\n

- iii. the constant process of renewal that occurred within historic sites

\n

\n\n

\n

- It thus denies the process of regeneration of these living cultural sites.

\n

- **Ownership** - Every object in a private collection is now seen as the result of temple desecration and robbery.

\n

- The laws that govern the ownership of historical objects, their purchase and sale have been a disincentive for the average collector.

\n

- Registering antiquities with the Archaeological Survey of India (ASI) is a cumbersome and difficult procedure for most collectors.

\n

- Cultural vigilantism, the presumption of guilt without trial, public shaming and the resultant media trial have led to a dangerous state of affairs.

\n

- Vigilante movements neither follow the rule of law nor do they respect the ASI's time-honoured process of registration of such artefacts.

\n

- It is casting a long shadow on the production of knowledge of the country's past.

\n

- **Limitations** - The rule is that every object over a 100 years is an antique.  
 \n
- With every passing year, the number of objects that shift from 99th year to a 100 year status will increase.  
 \n
- This would soon result in the transfer of vast numbers of objects to a status of national antiquity.  
 \n
- But is the state geared to handle and maintain this vast emerging enterprise remains uncertain.  
 \n
- The state is also not equipped to handle the needs of a growing populace of collectors.  
 \n

\n\n

### **What could be done?**

\n\n

- It is well within the rights of every citizen to acquire and collect objects of their past.  
 \n
- Nevertheless, this acquisition should definitely be governed by a legal process of buying.  
 \n
- With changing ideas, the role of private connoisseurship, individual collectors, trusts and foundations should also be considered.  
 \n
- Their proactive agency has safeguarded the ancient Indian art from being channelled abroad or being destroyed.  
 \n
- An urgent amendment to existing laws is essential to save the material culture from being examined purely from the prism of religious sentiment.  
 \n
- It should foster the creation of secular spaces where everyone can enjoy and appreciate the past.  
 \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*