

NGT ruling on INO Observatory

Why in news?

\n\n

The National Green Tribunal (NGT) upheld the environmental clearance granted to the India-based Neutrino Observatory (INO) recently.

\n\n

What does the ruling say?

\n\n

∖n

- The application for environmental clearance was referred to Environmental Appraisal Committee (EAC) by the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority of Tamil Nadu as it preferred the centre to assess a project of this nature.
 - ∖n
- Environment ministry (MoEFCC) gave the clearance on March 2018, but it was challenged in NGT by Pooulagin Nanbargal.
- The INO project was approved under category B, even though it is about to located near an eco-sensitive national park.
- Thus the organization objected to the category under which the project was cleared.

∖n

- However, the NGT held that the environment ministry has the legal and technical competence to assess the INO project and upheld the environmental clearance.
- The judgment states that it was correct on the part of the EAC and the ministry to appraise the project at their level.
- However, the court reiterated that the INO must also obtain <u>approval from</u> <u>National Board for Wildlife</u>.

\n

• This is because the proposed site is about 4.9 km from Mathikettan Shola bird sanctuary bordering Kerala.

\n

- Any major activity within 5km from any wildlife sanctuary requires a specific approval by the National Board for Wild Life. \n
- Also, NGT ruled that specific or general condition or recommendation made by the committees and expert groups on Western Ghats will be <u>mandatorily</u> made applicable in the current project of INO.

\n\n

What is the INO project?

\n\n

\n


• It is a particle physics research project to primarily study the elusive subatomic particles called neutrinos.

\n

- Neutrinos are extremely tiny elementary particles that are omnipresent in universe which carries no electric charge. \n
- It is considered to be the second most abundant particle in the universe after the photon, or light particle. \n
- Yet, they are very difficult to detect because they pass seamlessly through all kinds of matter, unimpeded and undetected.
- Its rest mass is almost zero (1 millionth of an electron). $\slash n$
- It interacts only via weak short range subatomic forces and gravity. \slashn
- Hence its detection needs high-end instruments and an environment that is effectively shielded from other radiant interference. \n
- Hence, a cavern is being carved out at the depth of 1,300 meters (4,300 feet) below the Western Ghats stretch in Bodi West Hills in Theni district for establishing the research site. n
- An underground laboratory will be located there, nearly 1.5 km below the Earth's surface, where a giant neutrino detector is to be placed. \n

\n\n

OBSERVATORY UNDERGROUND



\n\n

∖n

- The overhead rock will effectively shield it from natural cosmic radiation from outside.
 - \n
- \bullet Many countries are carrying out research on neutrinos, believing that it holds important clues to some basic questions on the universe. \n

\n\n

When will it operationalize?

\n\n

\n

• The original timeline had envisaged experimental work starting from 2017, later advanced to 2020.

\n

- It is now unlikely to begin before 2025, even if construction starts next year. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- Construction of the underground facility would take at least 5 years and hence the project cost too is likely to escalate. \n

\n\n

What are the challenges?

\n\n

∖n

- Environment It has had to move from its initially proposed location, because the nearby Mudhumalai National Park had been declared a tiger reserve during the same time.
- Hence this second site was selected.
- Litigations The project has been mired in all kinds of trouble such as litigation, public protests, opposition from NGOs and political parties, including the recently ended litigation with NGT. \n
- Red Tapes Bigger uncertainties in terms of government approvals, meanwhile, are still to come.
 \n
- The project applied for clearance from the National Board of Wildlife only in January this year and that approval is still awaited. \n
- Last year, the INO was told it would also need building approval from relevant state government agencies.
- The building plan is being prepared and an application is likely to be moved later this month.

\n

- It is unclear how much time it will take to get that approval. $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- The Tamil Nadu government, on its part, has taken its time deciding on approvals for the project. $$\n$
- Cost The Union government had, in 2015, approved a budget of Rs 1,583 crore for the project. \n
- That budget was based on cost assessments done in 2012.

∖n

 \bullet It is estimated the project would now cost at least 25% more than that amount.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Indian Express

\n\n

Quick Facts

\n\n

Categories under EIA

\n\n

\n

- The EIA Notification, 2006, broadly divides all projects into two categories, Category A and Category B, based on potential impacts over an area and on human health and natural and man-made resources.
- Accordingly, all Category A projects required to undertake EIA and a public hearing and its clearance are granted by the Union environment ministry. \n
- On the other hand, Category B projects are given a clearance by state level authorities.

∖n

- Category B projects are further classified as B1 and B2. $\slash n$
- While projects under Category B1 also require an EIA and public consultation, those falling under B2 are exempted from requirements of both EIA and public consultation.
 \n

