

# NGT Verdict on the 'Art of Living' Case

#### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Sri Sri Ravishankar's Art of Living Foundation (AoL) organised a 3 day World Culture Festival (WCF) in March 2016. \n
- The event is said to have caused severe environmental & ecological damaged to the Yamuna floodplains. \n
- National Green Tribunal (NGT) has now given its final verdict on the matter and has upheld the guilt of AoL. \n

\n\n

#### How did the issue evolve?

\n\n

\n

• AoL was initially granted permission for organising the event WCF event on the banks of river Yamuna.

\n

- The permission was on the condition that AoL would be held responsible for restoration of the allocated space after the event. \n
- The event had caused "serious pollution on the floodplain of the river" as nearly 35 lakh people had gathered. \n
- A case was initiated in the NGT with stress on the 'Polluters Pay Principle'. \n

\n\n

### What were the expert committee findings?

\n\n

\n

• Initially, the NGT had levied Rs 5 crores as damage compensation.

∖n

- Subsequently, NGT-appointed expert committee noted that the damages would cost over Rs 42.02 crores.
  - ∖n T
- It was also estimated that restoration could take up to 10 years.  $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- The expert committee had found that about 420 acres of floodplains of the Yamuna had suffered adverse ecological impact.  $\n$
- Further, "changes in topography, habitat, and loss of wetlands, vegetation and biodiversity" have been observed.  $\n$
- Also, degradation in the nature of soil, toxic substances and substrata degradation have been established.  $\n$

\n\n

## What were major legal pronouncements?

\n\n

\n

- AoL argument that lack of notified wetlands around Yamuna, was rejected as not being notified doesn't mean absence of wetlands.  $\n$
- Notably, in large parts of the country the wetlands as of now have neither been identified nor notified in accordance with law.
- The Delhi Development Authority (DDA) has also been pulled-up as it had failed to oversee compliance with the environmental laws.  $\n$
- But despite this comprehensive estimate of damages, NGT has resisted from enhancing the fine amount from the earlier 5 crores.  $\n$
- While, the tribunal has ruled for proceeding with the restoration work, AoL has stressed that it intends to go on appeal to the SC.  $\n$

\n\n

\n\n

## **Source: The Indian Express**

\n

