

## **Norms on appointing Independent directors**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

Kotak Committee on corporate governance constituted by the SEBI, has recently proposed a fresh set of norms for appointing directors.

\n\n

### **What is the trend in appointing chiefs of a company?**

\n\n

\n

- In India, most companies are run by the dominant shareholder, also known as “promoter” who is either an industrialist or the government.

\n

- Independent directors, who are supposed to represent ordinary shareholders, are chosen not by shareholders but largely by the management, i.e. the promoter.

\n

- There’s no way that any independent director would be chosen without the approval of the promoter.

\n

- In public sector enterprises, the appointment of independent directors is at least independent of the CEO because it’s the ministry concerned that appoints independent directors.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the highlight proposals of the committee?**

\n\n

\n

- The committee on corporate governance, or “making boards more effective”, ranks right up there in executive training programmes.

\n

- This committee asks for more directors and independent directors and dwells on the eligibility criteria for independent directors, and their induction.

\n

- It lays down requirements for the minimum number of board meetings and attendance requirements.  
\n
- It specifies a minimum compensation for independent directors.  
\n

\n\n

### **What are the unaddressed areas on independent directors?**

\n\n

- \n
- In India, there are independent directors who are beholden to the promoter for their place on the board.  
\n
- They don't have considerable freedom to express themselves, as vast majority of private companies treat promoter and CEO in a same rank.  
\n
- So it would be quite a challenge for an independent director to question decisions of a board.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n

