

# **NRC - The Moral Dilemma**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- $\bullet$  The ongoing Supreme Court (SC) monitored exercise on updating the "National Register of Citizens" (NRC) in Assam has created a political storm. \n
- South Asia has seen many crises over citizenship and it is important for India to ensure that the Assam episode doesn't become one.

 $n\$ 

### How did the current process commence?

 $n\n$ 

۱'n

- A PIL was filed in the Supreme Court by "Assam Public Works" in 2009 for the removal of "illegal voters" from the electoral rolls of Assam.
- Further, the PIL had asked for updating the NRC as required under the Citizenship Act, 1955 for honouring the Assam Accord of 1985.
- Notably, the Accord was an outcome of protests by "Assamese students unions" for the removal of illegal migrants who entered Assam after 1971.
- Though filed in 2009, the case really picked up steam in 2013 as the Supreme Court directed the Union and State governments to speed up the process.

\n

 $\bullet$  Significantly, the first NRC was framed in 1951, but its subsequent iterations were recognised to be faulty and the present exercise is to rectify this. \n

 $n\n$ 

# How did the exercise proceed?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- A deadline of January 2016 was initially fixed for the draft of the NRC, but due to delays, an extended deadline was given till July 2018.
- All 3.3 crore residents of Assam were required to submit documents from a list prescribed by the government to prove that they were Indian citizens.
- While the process proved to be complex and fraught with confusion, the draft of the updated NRC was recently released.
- $\bullet$  The draft list of citizens was published recently, and it leaves out the names of approximately as many as 40 lakh residents of Assam. \n
- While the political leaders have assured that everyone will be given a fair and patient hearing to prove their citizenship, this is unlikely to inspire confidence.

\n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\$ 

#### What are the inconsistencies in the current NRC?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- It was hoped that the Supreme Court's monitoring of the process would have ensured fairness and transparency.
- But regrettably it has not been so, with "family tree verification" proceeding largely in a secretive and arbitrary manner.
- $\bullet$  Further, the invalidation of gram panchayat certificates as proof has predominantly affects women who change locations after marriage. \n
- It was only recently that the Supreme Court clarified that the panchayat certificates could be relied upon, provided they are proven in courts.
- $\bullet$  Many instances of parents being included in the NRC but children being left out have also been reported. \n

 $n\n$ 

# What the important moral issues that arise?

\n

- Preparing the NRC swiftly seemed more important than ensuring that there was legal clarity over the manner in which citizenship claims are verified.
- Further, the larger question of what to do of the lakhs of people likely to be left out of the final NRC has remained unanswered.
- While the immediate consequence is that they will lose their right to vote as demanded by the PIL, the future course of their lives remains grey.
- Whether the government would ghettoise those rendered stateless (in line with communal rhetoric) or would it adopt more reconciliatory actions is uncertain.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### What is the way ahead?

 $n\n$ 

\n

 During the Constituent Assembly debates, the provision relating to citizenship was a challenging one that also generated much international interest.

\n

- This was because Indian nationalism during the freedom movement had not attempted to define itself on exclusive racial or ethnic bases.
- Seventy years later, India's approach to citizenship is once again going to be scrutinised by the world, and our constitutional values are at stake.
- All state authorities need to be prudent in their actions and ensure that good sense prevails to not commence another humanitarian tragedy.

 $n\$ 

#### **Source: The Hindu**

 $n\n$ 

\n

