

## On Path to Fiscal Consolidation

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The government has been breaching the fiscal deficit targets time and again.
- Understanding the fiscal status in the light of the recent budget and the recent review committee's recommendations is imperative.

\n

\n\n

### What is the FRBM Act?

\n\n

\n

- The Fiscal Responsibility and Budget Management (FRBM) Act was enacted in 2003.
- It led to the framing of FRBM Rules in 2004.
- **Rules** - It essentially sets targets for the Central government to ensure fiscal discipline.
- The FRBM rules set a target:

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. for reduction of fiscal deficit to 3% of the GDP by 2008-09 (with annual reduction target of 0.3% of GDP)
- ii. for complete elimination of revenue deficit by 2008-09 (with annual reduction target of 0.5% of the GDP)

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Amendments** - The target of 3% was achieved only once, in 2007-08.

- \n
- It was thus first postponed and later suspended in 2009, following the global financial crisis.
- \n
- The FRBM Act was later amended twice, in 2012 and 2015.
- \n
- In May 2016, the government set up the NK Singh committee to review the FRBM Act.
- \n
- In this backdrop, the recent budget has reset the target again.
- \n

\n\n

### **What is the present fiscal picture?**

\n\n

- \n
- **FISCAL DEFICIT** - The current Budget has retained the fiscal deficit at **3.5% of GDP**.
- \n
- This is a deviation from the budgeted target of 3.2%.
- \n
- 3.2% itself is a **deviation** from the stipulated target of 3% for 2017-18 in the amended FRBM Act.
- \n
- **DEBT** - The **debt-GDP ratio** has increased to 49.1% in 2017-18 from 48.7% in 2016-17.
- \n
- The **increase** in debt-GDP ratio is against a declining trend observed until recently.
- \n
- **MTFP** - As per the requirement of the FRBM Act, a medium-term fiscal policy (MTFP) statement is presented in each Budget.
- \n
- The statement pertains to fiscal, revenue, and effective revenue deficits, and outstanding debt of the Central government.
- \n
- A review of the statements highlights **missing the targets** for all four variables often by big margins.
- \n

\n\n

### **What are the recent changes in targets?**

\n\n

\n

- **FISCAL DEFICIT** - Budget 2018-19 has proposed amending the FRBM Act again.

\n

- This will shift the target of **3%** fiscal deficit-GDP ratio to end-**March 2021**.

\n

- **DEBT** - The **general** debt-GDP ratio is slated to be reduced to **60%** of the GDP by **2024-25**.

\n

- The **Central** government debt-GDP ratio is to be reduced to **40%** of the GDP by **2024-25**.

\n

- These targets are based on the recommendations of the FRBM Review Committee.

\n

- However, the committee's target of 2022-23 is shifted to 2024-25.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the deviations from the recommendations?**

\n\n

\n

- **FISCAL DEFICIT** - The FRBM review **committee** proposed the fiscal deficit to GDP ratio to be stabilised at **2.5%**.

\n

- This target is derived in reference to the annual estimate of available investible resources at 10% of GDP.

\n

- It comprises of surplus savings of the household sector and sustainable net capital inflows.

\n

- Apparently, the **government** did not accept this and continued with the **3% target**.

\n

- If 3% target is the case, the government would have continued with the present FRBM Act.

\n

- **REVENUE DEFICIT** - The **committee** had specified a revenue deficit glide path, reaching **0.8% by 2022-23**.

\n

- But, **no target** has been set by the **government** for revenue deficit **in** the present budget.

- \n
- The target of revenue account balance is significant to a country.
- \n
- It is favourable if a country borrows as long as the entire borrowing is spent on capital spending.
- \n
- However, in the Indian context, the revenue deficit with some adjustments reflects **government dis-savings**.
- \n
- Unless government dis-savings are eliminated, it will be difficult to reverse the trend of a falling savings rate.
- \n
- **FISCAL COUNCIL** - The Committee recommended the setting up of a fiscal council.
- \n
- This is to independently examine the economic case and justification for deviating from the specified targets.
- \n
- This is to keep a check on unconstrained fiscal flexibility and to prevent the possibly avoidable fiscal risks.
- \n
- The Central government however did not accept this recommendation as well.
- \n

\n\n

### **What are the concerns?**

\n\n

- \n
- **FISCAL DISCIPLINE** - A slippage margin of 50 basis points implies a delay in reaching the fiscal deficit target by two and a half years.
- \n
- The government has been missing the fiscal responsibility targets year after year and changing the statutory framework repeatedly.
- \n
- This questions the credibility of the government's commitment to fiscal discipline.
- \n
- **EXTRA-BUDGETARY RESOURCES** - In the recent budget, government's total outlay for 3 **focus areas** is **11.6% of GDP**.
- \n
- The focus areas are agriculture and rural livelihoods, infrastructure and education, and health and social sectors.

- \n
- Notably, **budgetary resources** constitute only **16.4%** of the total outlay.
- \n
- The remaining **83.6%** is to be raised as an **extra-budgetary resource**.
- \n
- This will be through the public sector enterprises concerned, special purpose vehicles and other similar institutions.
- \n
- However, a substantial part of this may only be based on **borrowing**.
- \n
- This is because the relevant bodies may have only limited surpluses.
- \n
- This will be an added borrowing dependence for extra-budgetary resources along with **States' borrowing requirements**.
- \n
- Given the already falling **savings rate**, increased borrowing can put considerable pressure on **interest rates**.
- \n

\n\n

### **What is the way forward?**

\n\n

- \n
- The fiscal deficit rule in India has been honoured more in breach than in observance.
- \n
- Another year of fiscal slippage can be fatal for the economy.
- \n
- The fiscal deficit target needs continued vigilance to ensure sustainability for larger fiscal benefits.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n