

## Overreach of the Army Chief

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Army General Bipin Rawat has been speaking out of turn on many critical issues.

\n

• This has potential consequences for the Army's institutional autonomy and its perceived political neutrality.

\n

 $n\$ 

### What are some of his excessesive statements?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

• Awarding Cariappa - General Rawat had publicly recommended Field Marshal Cariappa for a Bharat Ratna.

۱n

• Such remarks are not in good taste as an army chief isn't expected to make such personal recommendations in public forums.

• Even if it was a collective army demand, it should've been made through a personal letter to the Rastrapathi Bhavan.

 $n\n$ 

\n

• **Sensitive Statements** - He compared stone-pelters in Kashmir to terrorists in an interview.

\n

• Such statements also could potentially sabotage the tag of political neutrality that the forces enjoy.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## Why is institutional autonomy critical?

\n

• Excessive political interference in public institutions has decayed their autonomy considerably.

۱n

- Over the years, at both Central and state levels, many government departments have become mere extensions of the party in power.
- $\bullet$  Election Commission, RBI, the Supreme Court and the Armed Forces are among the few institutions that have survived intact. \n
- But here too, their functional autonomy is on display only if headed by people of principle and courage.
- $\bullet$  Lack of moral courage or the lure of a post-retirement job have sometimes led people to uphold the agenda of their political masters. \n

 $n\n$ 

### How has Army's autonomy fared?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- While some officers have been known to have political loyalties, most chiefs of army staff have carefully stayed away from politics.
- $\bullet$  Among those who admirably upheld the traditions of office and political neutrality of the forces was General T.N. Raina  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- He firmly stood his ground during the challenging times of emergency and its aftermath.

\n

- Most chiefs of army staff have eschewed public commentary on issues as a conscious move to stay clear of sensationalism.
- It is hence important to reign in our current Army General to preserve the sanctity of the institution.
- $\bullet$  Notably, it's the non-political nature that differentiates our forces substantially from the Pakistani Military. \n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

# **Source: Indian Express**

\n

