

Panel Reports on Western Ghats

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- Recently, floods have wrecked havoc in Kerala and Costal Karnataka.
- \bullet In this context, the various reports on western ghat ecosystems have assumed new focus that calls for further brainstorming and discussions. $\$

 $n\n$

Why are the various reports on Western Ghats currently significant?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet The catastrophic monsoon floods in Kerala and parts of Karnataka have opened brought back the various reports published on the Western Ghats. \n
- 7 years ago, "Western Ghats Ecology Expert Panel" (WGEEP) was constituted by the "Union Environment Ministry" with "Madhav Gadgil" as chairman.

۱n

- The Gadgil Committee published its report recommending actions to preserving the fragile system, most of which weren't accepted.
- While this was due to political reasons, some experts have now opined that Kerala's deluge was largely due to short-sighted policymaking.
- Further, they've also warned that Goa may also be in the line of nature's fury eventually akin to how Kerala and Karnataka (coastal region) had suffered.

\n

- They've hence asked the Western Ghats states (Kerala, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Goa and Maharashtra), to get together to coordinate strategies.
- Further, the Gadgil Report and subsequently, the toned down Kasturirangan Committee Report on the same issue, needs to be taken up

seriously.

 $n\n$

What are the varying estimates?

 $n\$

\n

• Western Ghats is spread over 1,29,037 sq.km according to the WGEEP estimate but the same was noted as 1,64,280 sq.km by Kasturirangan panel.

\n

- The crux of the problem is in calculating what constitutes the sensitive core and what activities can be carried out there. $\$
- The entire system is globally acknowledged as a biodiversity hotspot, but population estimates within the sensitive zones vary greatly.
- In Kerala, for instance, one expert assessment says 39 lakh households are in the ESZs outlined by the WGEEP.
- \bullet But the figure drops sharply to four lakh households for a smaller area of zones identified by the Kasturirangan panel. $\$

 $n\n$

What is the way ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Intent** - Kerala's Finance Minister, Thomas Isaac, has acknowledged the need to review decisions affecting the environment, in the wake of the floods.

\n

- Public consultation on the expert reports that includes people's representatives will find greater resonance now, and help chart a sustainable path ahead.
- The role of big hydroelectric dams must now be considered afresh and proposals for new ones dropped considering the ecological challenges.
- A moratorium on quarrying and mining in the identified sensitive zones, in Kerala and also other States, is necessary to assess their environmental

impact.

\n

- **Actions** The concerned state governments have the task of initiating corrective measures to adhere to environmental policy decisions. \n
- This is not going to be easy, given the need to balance human development pressures with stronger protection of the Western Ghats ecology.

\n

- The issue of allowing extractive industries such as quarrying and mining to operate is arguably the most contentious.
- \bullet In this context, the regulatory framework like the "Western Ghats Ecology Authority" as proposed by the Gadgil report can be considered. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- Commissioning state-level units, under the Environment (Protection) Act, and to adopt the zoning systems as proposed are other aspects to consider.

\n

• These actions can help in keeping incompatible activities out of the Ecologically Sensitive Zones (ESZs).

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

\n

