

## Peace Process in Syria

### Why in news?

\n\n

The 7 year-old Syrian conflict is moving toward a possible end with the defeat of IS and weak ceasefire agreements with the many rebel groups.

\n\n

### How has peace negotiations progressed?

\n\n

\n

- Astana Peace Process, (sponsored by Russia, Iran & Turkey) and the U.N.-sponsored Geneva Talks have been inconclusive thus far.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Russia has pressed the military advantage gained to find a lasting political solution by hosting Syrian President Assad recently.

\n

- Notably, the Russian side had also had multiple consultations with other stakeholders - U.S., Saudi, Egyptian and Israel.

\n

- Also, in tandem with the Russian initiatives, Saudi sponsored a two-day meeting of around 140 rival groups in Riyadh.

\n

- In this, an agreement was reached to field a unified rebel delegation at the Geneva talks in future meetings.

\n

- They also reportedly dropped their long-standing demand for the removal of President Assad, which could ease tensions.

\n

\n\n

### What are the challenges?

\n\n

\n

- The intense foreign involvements that pursue divergent objectives have created a big mess in Syria - which needs to be overcome.

\n

- **Divergence** - Notably, there is no unanimous opinion in support of the Assad regime and the legitimacy of major rebel groups.

\n

- The Kurdish quest for self determination is also highly controversial as this spreads across borders.

\n

- Similarly, apprehension about Iranian gains in the Syria have also been a major concern for countries like Saudi & Israel.

\n

- Also, the future polity envisioned for Syria range from the continuation of "Shiaite Ba'at Allawite domination" under Assad to the establishment of a "Sunni Republic".

\n

- **Enacting Peace** - A sustainable and speedy agreement on peace is crucial as prolonged negotiations will lead to further crisis.

\n

- Any peace process involves funds for reconstruction and stakeholders should ensure sufficient funds for development.

\n

\n\n

\n

- The best one can realistically hope for is a congruence of major players and progressive withdrawal of foreign military presence.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the stakes for India?**

\n\n

\n

- By keeping a low profile during the conflict, India has earned wider acceptability across the Syrian social spectrum.

\n

- Before the war, bilateral trade between the two countries was over half a billion dollars annually, with India enjoying a trade surplus.

\n

- In a post-conflict situation, India has a potential role in institution building and reconstruction.

\n

- India could also capitalise on its rapport with Iran to further its economic prospects Syria.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

