

## Performance in Health Outcomes Index

### Why in news?

\n\n

Niti Aayog has released the Performance in Health Outcomes Index.

\n\n

### What is Performance in Health Outcomes Index?

\n\n

\n

- The NITI Aayog in collaboration with the ministry of health and family welfare (MoHFW) and World Bank released the Performance in Health Outcomes Index.

\n

- It is a composite index that captures overall performance of States in health along with annual improvements in outcomes, governance and processes.

\n

- It uses 24 different indicators to rank the states.

\n

- States have been ranked in three categories larger States, smaller States and Union Territories to allow for better comparability.

\n

- The overall objective of the index is to highlight the top performers and States that are making significant improvements.

\n

\n\n

### What are the findings of the Index?

\n\n

\n

- Kerala, ranks at the top in terms of overall performance, however, with respect to annual incremental progress, it ranks at the bottom.

\n

- This is because it has already made significant progress in health outcomes, and showing marginal improvements when the baseline is fairly strong is more challenging.

\n

- Among the larger States, Kerala, Punjab and Tamil Nadu have emerged as the top performers, whereas Jharkhand, Uttar Pradesh and Jammu & Kashmir have shown the maximum improvement in annual performance.

\n

- These states have reduced neonatal mortality, under-5 mortality rates, and increased immunisation coverage, institutional deliveries, and total case notification rate of tuberculosis.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the significance of this Index?**

\n\n

\n

- The index will play an important role in shifting the discourse from inputs and outputs to the achievement of health outcomes.

\n

- The index can also serve as a catalyst for enhancing the timeliness, accuracy and relevance of data systems in the health sector.

\n

- In areas such as health, promoting competitive and cooperative federalism becomes more important as most of the action happens at the State-level.

\n

- The trend of devolving a larger share of resources and increasing spending by States is likely to become stronger on account of greater decentralisation and urbanisation.

\n

- By ranking States not just in terms of their overall performance but also their annual incremental progress, the index will nudge them towards transformative action in health.

\n

\n\n

### **What else needs to be done?**

\n\n

\n

- Financing mechanisms need to be redesigned to act as levers for improving the efficiency and effectiveness of the health system.

\n

- There is a critical data gaps in areas like non-communicable diseases and out-of-pocket expenditure in public health facilities to the front, Data systems

needs to be strengthened to address such gaps.

\n

- Availability of credible outcome data at more frequent intervals is critical for guiding health system action as well as putting health-related issues at the centre of the public discourse.

\n

- Promoting competition needs to be accompanied by the provision of technical support in the form of human capital and management practices.

\n

- This is important because the States that are lagging behind are typically the ones that have weaker capacities.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line**

\n

