

## Persisting dangers of Maoists

### What is the issue?

Described by Ex-PM Dr. Manmohan Singh as “India’s biggest internal security threat”, the recent attack by the Maoists is a sign of their waning strength, although perhaps not the flicker of a dying flame.

### What is the course of action taken by the government?

- The response of the Indian state has been twofold.
- It attempts to provide **basic services to win back the Adivasis**, and simultaneously, it **seeks military conquest of the Maoists**.
- Its battle strategy includes emptying out the river that gives the Maoists sustenance: the people living in those areas.
- Mass incarcerations (Chhattisgarh has the highest capacity utilization of prisons in India), fake encounters, and large-scale recruitment into the police forces constitute the strategic line of the state.
- This state of affairs benefits the Indian state as the focus on the “law and order problem” allows it to avoid questions arising about the foundations of its development model.
- Companies find it easier to pay off politicians and bureaucrats to secure their projects **rather than genuinely winning the hearts of people**, something.
- The Maoists also gain as they get a steady stream of recruits from people fleeing the excesses of the government.

### What is the present state of Maoists?

- \n
- The insurgents have been **losing power for several years.**
  - \n
  - People have begun to fear them as much as they fear the Indian state.
  - \n
  - Social services have begun to reach many areas.
  - \n
  - The charms of modern society and education are exerting a pull on the youth, including members of the Maoist cadre.
  - \n
  - The **elimination of many top leaders** and the inability to offer a compelling alternate vision has led to ideological bankruptcy of the rank and file.
  - \n
  - In their current weakened state, the Maoists seem to have abandoned whatever principles they espouse.
  - \n
  - However, the ongoing excesses on the Adivasis and, the inexorable urge of the Indian state to **accelerate mineral extraction** mean that Maoists may continue to serve as a refuge for some more time.
  - \n
  - Like ordinary people, NGOs, lawyers and journalists are caught in the cross-fire between the government and the Maoists.
  - \n
  - The government finds it convenient to classify them as over-ground organizations of the Maoists and repress them.
  - \n
  - In this manner, the state turns the threat posed by the Maoists against the cause they claim to be struggling for.
  - \n
  - While many NGOs have condemned the Sukma incident, there is a compelling need for NGO's to carry out a consistent and concerted communication campaign to counter the government's strategy of labelling them "Maoist sympathizers".
  - \n

\n\n

## **What could be done?**

\n\n

- \n
- Our mining sector is a den of corruption and illegality.
  - \n
  - The average daily employment of labour in mining decreased from 549,000

people in 2004-05 to 512,000 people in 2013-14, despite a fourfold increase in the value of production.

\n

- Most development projects were accompanied by violence, not just those in Maoist areas but also outside it.

\n

- There exists a much needed **rethink on development**, including the Panchayats (Extension to the Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996, and the Forest Rights Act, 2006.

\n

- A healthy contestation over the pace of extraction and distribution of natural wealth will be great for the country as well as the countryside.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Live Mint**

\n

