

Plantations are Forests - Says Forest Survey

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- The latest "Forest Survey of India" report has changed the calculation method for India's forest cover to include plantations on private lands.
- This is not just naive but also deceptive and runs the risk of seeing conservation funds being diverted to satiate private interests.

 $n\$

Why is the resent change in the classification problematic?

 $n\n$

۱n

- It is common knowledge that private plantations of teak, eucalyptus and poplar are undertaken to earn incomes.
- Such plantations can't be substitutes for natural forests with their wildlife and immense biodiversity.
- Natural forests have multiple ecosystem functions, none of which can be provided by commercial plantations.
- Classifying tree plantations as forests is hence a fallacy that ignores the reams of research and evidence which show otherwise.
- Hence, there is suspicion that the move is intended to aid private profits by relaxing restrictions and siphoning off conservation funds.

 $n\n$

How does the ecological conservation scenario look?

 $n\n$

\n

- Data shows that there is a clear increase in average global temperatures due to the rising levels of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere.
- Frequency of heat-waves, floods, droughts, and unseasonal rainfall is also increasing – all of which are thought to be the effects of global warming.
- \bullet Protecting and restoring natural forests (not plantations) is one of the best ways to mitigate climate change. \n
- Some argue that private funds can be mobilised by classifying plantations as forest, but they seem to be ignoring the ecological cost of such a move.
- Besides, more than \$7 billion of public "Compensatory Afforestation Fund"
 (CAF) is lying unused currently which needs to be used up effectively.

 $n\n$

What is the way ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

- Multiple peasant and tribal communities have for long worked for the conservation of their neighbourhood forests.
- \bullet Tribal claims of ownership over the use of sustainable forest resources have now been legally recognized by under the "Forest Rights Act of 2006". \n
- \bullet Bettering the implementation of the act and strengthened cooperation with grassroots institutions are vital to further conservation efforts. \n
- \bullet Governments should consider devolving afforestation funds to the gram sabhas and build a sustained movement for conserving forests. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

 $n\n$

