

## Politics Vs Bureaucracy - Delhi

### Why in news?

\n\n

There is an ongoing tussle between the elected government and the bureaucracy in Delhi.

\n\n

### What is going on in Delhi?

\n\n

\n

- **Government** - Delhi CM Kejriwal has said there was “de facto President’s Rule” in the national capital.

\n

- Mr. Kejriwal, Deputy Chief Minister, and some ministers have been staging a sit-in at the Raj Niwas.

\n

- They called attention to an "illegal strike by Delhi government officers".

\n

- They demanded that Lieutenant-Governor order an end to what they call a strike by officers.

\n

- **Bureaucracy** - But Raj Niwas and the IAS officers’ association has said there is no strike.

\n

- Passing of the state budget and the accompanying budget session are pointed as proofs of a working machinery.

\n

- However, they admit to being part of what is at least a ‘non-cooperation campaign’.

\n

- They have restricted communication with the political executive to the written form alone since February.

\n

- Also, not attending meetings convened by Ministers.

\n

- This was in protest of the alleged assault on Chief Secretary by AAP MLAs at

Mr. Kejriwal's residence.

\n

- The bureaucrats claim they feel vulnerable in the absence of Ministerial assurances of their safety.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the tussle for?**

\n\n

\n

- Delhi witnesses a tussle for “full statehood” versus “partial statehood”.
- \n
- Relations between the Chief Minister and Lieutenant General in Delhi has for long been a concern.
- \n
- But this has less to do with constitutional correctness and more with the political compulsions.
- \n
- The principle of special sensitivities for national capitals exists for Delhi too.
- \n
- This is on account of the national, international importance, geographical location and strategic significance.
- \n
- This makes the claim to “full statehood” for Delhi to be treated differently.
- \n

\n\n

### **What are the implications?**

\n\n

\n

- It results in a fractured arrangement of power-sharing.
- \n
- This applies to law and order, land and services residing with the central government.
- \n
- This arrangement has always been contested by the state government.
- \n
- Such constitutional arrangements militate against the preferences of the “elected government”.
- \n
- The compromises and restrictions defeat the purposes of democracy and accountability in elected governments.

\n

- E.g. the formation of the present Delhi government was followed by the LG's office imposing many constraints.

\n

- It disabled the power of the state government to transfer employees.

\n

- Also, restrictions were imposed on ordering vigilance probes on complaints or proceeding against corrupt officials.

\n

\n\n

### **Why is the issue significant?**

\n\n

\n

- Trust between the political class and bureaucracy is necessary for good governance.

\n

- The impasse in Delhi suggests a total breakdown in the relations between the two sections.

\n

- This spat between the LG and the CM has further diminished the nobility of constitutional offices.

\n

- The government must introspect and adopt a more mature approach to the bureaucracy.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu, Indian Express**

\n

