

Pre-Historic Migrations in India - Tracing the DNAs

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- A recent genetic research on pre-historic samples has revalidated the politically sensitive 'Aryan Migration Theory'.
- But additionally, the current paper has also stressed the irrevocable genetic inter-connectedness of all modern-day individuals.

 $n\n$


What is the study about?

 $n\n$

۱n

- A recent study titled 'The Genomic Formation of South and Central Asia' has published its analysed on the contented Aryan migration theory.
- While term "Aryan" has been consciously avoided, it has claimed that there
 was indeed some kind of migration into India in around the 2000 BCE.
- "Ancient DNA" from 612 individuals in Central and South Asia were observed and a population influx into the region was claimed to be perceptible.
- Significantly, the timing of this influx is said to perfectly coincide with the terminal phase of the "Indus Valley Civilisation" (IVC).
- The study states that Steppe pastoralists around the "Volga and Don Rivers" in Russia moved towards India and came in contact with IVC populations.
- \bullet Indications at multiple sites highlight that the migrants mixed with the southern population and produced a distinctive mixture of ancestry. \n

 $n\n$



 $n\n$

What were the various population mixes that were deciphered?

 $n\n$

\n

- The research proceeds with the understanding that that present-day South Asians have descended from a mixture of two highly divergent populations, namely Ancestral North Indians (ANI) and Ancestral South Indians (ASI).
- With that viewpoint, it has worked to trace the complex genetic mixing that took place in various ways to create the ANI and ASI.
- The study has claimed to have deciphered 3 separate population groups that intermixed to form ANI and ASI. They are:

 $n\n$

\n

- Ancient Ancestral South Indians (AASI) They were the South Indian hunter-gatherers. Ex: Onge (indigenous Andamanese people)
- Iranian Agriculturalists Pastoralists who lived near the 'Zagros Mountains' around 8000 BCE and had know the Indian subcontinent.
- **Steppe Pastoralists** Loosely referred to as the 'Aryans', these people are said to have inhabited the vast Central Asia grasslands.

\n

• The study has asserted that the initial IVC folks were a mix of the indigenous AASI population and the Iranian Agriculturalist population.

 $n\n$

\n

- Later, the Steppe pastoralists (Central Asians) are said to have moved southwards and mixed with the IVC population.
- Further, the people from IVC are said to have moved further south to merge with the indigenous AASI to form the Ancestral South Indians (ASI).
- During the same time, the mixture between the Steppe people and the Indus Valley people is said to have create Ancestral North Indians (ANI).
- Later, the ANI and ASI continued to mix with each other to create almost the entire ancestry of South Asian population (except some secluded groups).

 $n\n$

What are the significant pointers?

 $n\n$

\n

- Castist Bias A strong connection had also been found between the Steppe pastoralists and the priestly castes (brahmins), and cultures of North India.
- 10 out of 140 current Indian population groups that were studied were found to have a higher amount of Steppe ancestry than Indus Valley ancestry.
- \bullet Signfincant among these were the 'Brahmin-Tiwari' and 'Brahmin-UP' groups and Bhumihars all being the traditional custodians of early sanskritic texts. \n
- More importantly, the general enrichment in Steppe ancestry was distinctive of the north Indian populations and was not found in south Indian groups.
- Conclusions It can be hence be stated that, the ANI are related to Europeans, central Asians, Near Easterners, and people of the Caucasus.
- Contrarily, the ASI descend from populations that are not related to any present-day populations outside India.
- While ANI and ASI were very distinct in their origins, they are said to have

mixed dramatically in India over the centuries.

- Resultly, everyone in mainland India today is a mix, albeit in different proportions of ancestry with other populations.
- Therefore, no Indian can claim to be genetically pure and any such conception is a fallacy driven by socio-political situations.

 $n\n$

What is the essence of the research?

 $n\n$

\n

ullet The current study is the 1st to have co-analysed ancient individuals and the present day individuals and is claimed to be path-breaking by the researchers.

\n

- Essentially, the study shows that there are no "pure" people anywhere except perhaps in some very isolated and remote places like Andamans.
- We are all mixed and almost all parts of the world have seen repeated mass migrations that have deeply impacted their demography.
- \bullet Hence, the genetic studies should be liberating in a way because it should make us aware that we are all interconnected. $\mbox{\sc hould}$

 $n\n$

What are some of the criticism that rose against the study?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet The study makes the assumption that there was a possible migration and then proceeds to establish the evidence gathers into this assumption. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- \bullet While this is indeed one of the standard research methodologies, some have claimed that analysising migratory trends by this method is faulty. \n
- Additioanlly, the study worked with genetic data from the pherepheral cites of the IVC as data from regions like Harappa are yet to be released.
- But the DNA at sites like Harappan are likely to have formed the core of IVC, and not having analysed them is a big drawback.

\n

• The study is silent about the pre-IVC population in the Indus valley, and seems to be assuming a near absence of humans in the region before 3000 BCE.

\n

 Also, the influence of Mesolithic and Neolithic populations in the Indian subcontinent seems to the genetic pool hasn't been probed sufficiently.

 $n\n$

How has DNA analysis techniques aided in revealing pre-history?

 $n\n$

\n

- Improvements in the DNA anylitical techniques have greatly aided the decipherment of historic migrations not just in India but around the world.
- Recently, it was established that Europe went through two major mass migrations that changed their demography.
- Also, the Americas before the Europen arrival in the $15^{\rm th}$ century is said have been populated by four distinct migrations form Asia. $\$
- On these lines, the findings about South Asia are just one part of the series of prehistory revelations that has been ushered in by DNA research.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

