

Privacy and non-state actors

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Privacy must not be discussed only in the context of Government and Aadhaar.

\n

- It must be extended to non-state sector too.

\n

\n\n

How the non-state actors intrude the privacy?

\n\n

\n

- **Media** - Many persons are affected by intrusive or false reporting by the print and digital media.

\n

- Media houses photograph or videograph people for their stories, sometimes covertly and access private documents which may also constitute an intrusion into privacy.

\n

- **Private businesses** - The online businesses, private establishments, technology companies, and social media deal routinely with people and sensitive data.

\n

- Many shops, malls, jewellers and super markets use CCTV cameras for surveillance.

\n

- Mobile numbers are almost being used like identity numbers and, worse, shared casually.

\n

- **Electronic devices** - Some cell phones use biometric access with the data stored abroad.

\n

- Uploading ones digital photo makes them susceptible to facial recognition and tracking.

\n

- **Web browsing** -E-commerce sites insist on registration before their services are used, most web browsers and search engines are actually programmed to remember users' actions.

\n

- When one click the 'I agree' or 'remember password' button on a screen there can be consequences for their privacy.

\n

- **International travel** - When one travel abroad they are routinely allowed to make use of their biometrics for visas.

\n

\n\n

How photos are vulnerable over biometrics?

\n\n

\n

- Photographs have been used for ages to identify, name and track individuals.

\n

- Many allow their friends to tag their faces quite voluntarily using the face tagging feature on social media

\n

- After all, a fingerprint needs an expert to decode it whereas a photo can be taken without the subject even being aware of it and used to identify individuals with accuracy.

\n

- In comparison to fingerprints, photos are so much more potent and liable for misuse that every photograph that is taken and shared.

\n

\n\n

Way forward

\n\n

\n

- Under a 'privacy law', the media also have to deal with the vulnerability of personal data and should bring in strong opinions on the matter of privacy.

\n

- Aadhaar as more possible security features (like locking the Aadhaar number by the user), when compared with the above mentioned Non-state actors.

\n

- Thus breach of privacy is not only possible with Aadhaar it is possible everywhere, the users must be aware of their data.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Line

\n

