

## **Prohibition of Unlawful Assembly (Interference with the Freedom of Matrimonial Alliances) Bill**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

\n

- The draft Prohibition of Unlawful Assembly (Interference with the Freedom of Matrimonial Alliances) Bill, 2011 was proposed by the Law Commission.

\n

- It has long been pending, and the Supreme Court is now expected to frame guidelines on this.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the objectives?**

\n\n

\n

- Community opposition to inter-caste, inter-community and inter-religious marriages have long been a social concern.

\n

- The current penal law lacks direct application to the illegal acts of such caste assemblies.

\n

- The Bill is thus meant to penalise honour killings in the name of upholding community honour or family honour.

\n

- The legislation primarily aims at preventing the unlawful interference from caste panchayats.

\n

- It is intended to uphold the right of consenting adults to marry persons of their own choice.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the key provisions in the Bill?**

\n\n

\n

- **Definitions** - "Unlawful assembly" refers to a group of persons who condemn a marriage.

\n

- This is particularly for alleged reasons that the marriage had dishonoured the caste or community tradition.

\n

- "Marriage", under the draft legislation, includes "proposed or intended marriage."

\n

- **Punishments** - The punishments are meted out in a phased manner.

\n

\n\n

\n

- All offences under the proposed Act will be cognisable, non-bailable and non-compoundable.

\n

- The offences include:

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. participating in any unlawful assembly
- ii. making exhortations (persuasion, advice) that endanger the liberty of a couple
- iii. criminal intimidation of the couple or their relatives or supporters

\n

\n\n

\n

- The punishments for the offences range from 6 months to 7 years.
- The fine ranges from Rs. 10,000 to Rs. 30,000.
- The maximum punishment of 7 years of imprisonment is in the case of actual harm or injury caused.
- The provisions under the proposed law do not negate the offences under IPC but only adds to them.
- **Special Courts** - The cases will be tried in Special Courts presided over by a sessions judge or additional sessions judge.

- \n
- The special courts will be set up by states in consultation with the High Courts.
- \n
- It will have the power of a Sessions Court.
- \n
- It can take cognisance of any offence upon receiving a complaint of facts, or upon a police report of such facts.
- \n
- It can also take suo motu cognisance of the cases.
- \n
- The court can take cases without the accused being committed to it for trial.
- \n
- **Authority** - The Collector or the District Magistrate is entrusted with the responsibility for the safety of the persons targeted.
- \n
- This is in case any illegal decision is taken by the khap panchayat.
- \n
- He/she shall take necessary steps to prohibit the convening of such illegal gatherings.
- \n

\n\n

### **What are the Law Commissions' observations?**

\n\n

- \n
- **IPC** - The Commission has rejected the government's proposal to amend Section 300 of the Indian Penal Code.
- \n
- The proposal was to include 'honour killings' within the definition of murder in IPC.
- \n
- However, Law Commission observed that the definition of murder in Section 300 of the Indian Penal Code would suffice.
- \n
- As, this would be adequate to take care of the situations leading to overt acts of killing or causing bodily harm to the targeted person.
- \n
- **Khap Panchayats** - Nevertheless, the Law Commission proposed the fresh legislation.
- \n
- It seeks to declare khap panchayats unlawful.
- \n

- As, khap panchayats have long been handing down punishment to couples who go for 'sagotra' or inter-caste marriage.  
\n
- In this regard, the Commission also observes that the Hindu Marriage Act did not prohibit 'sagotra' or inter-caste marriages.  
\n

\n\n

### **How has the bill progressed?**

\n\n

- \n
- So far, 23 States have responded to the Bill with suggestions.  
\n
- The other six states have not responded yet.  
\n
- The Supreme Court has now stepped in to fill this legislative vacuum.  
\n
- The SC is expected to frame guidelines on this, in a judgment to protect adult couples from the fury of the mob.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu, Firstpost**

\n

