

Promoting Indigenisation in IAF

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

IAF must stop ignoring domestic manufacturers and instead promote more indigenisation in the defence sector.

 $n\n$

What are the concerns with functioning of IAF?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Indian Air Force (IAF) has long preferred to import rather than build its own aircraft through the Hindustan Aeronautics Limited (HAL).

• This is done especially by delaying the placement of manufacturing orders to the HAL.

- This has happened in the recent cases of the Tejas Mark 1A and the HTT-40 basic trainer aircraft that were to be made by HAL.
- Hence, this is disrupting the smooth and uninterrupted flow of HAL's aircraft assembly lines.

\n

- The IAF cites the resultant delay and expense of these actions to further criticise HAL and argue for more imports to meet critical needs.
- IAF also engages in non-payment of bills for aircraft and services already delivered by HAL, adding cash-flow issues to them.
- This neglect of indigenous manufacturers, combined with the IAF's poor force planning, has resulted in its fleet consisting of seven different types of fighters so far.

• This logistical nightmare in peacetime could become an operational nightmare during war.

\n

What should IAF learn from naval logistical operations?

 $n\n$

\n

• In contrast, the navy has embraced indigenisation half a century ago and now operates mostly Indian warships.

\n

• It has systematically created the ecosystem needed for designing and building warships in the country.

\n

• It has instituted its <u>own design bureau</u>, <u>a directorate of indigenisation</u>, and ensures that carefully chosen admirals head the four DPSU shipyards that build its fleet.

\n

• Unlike the navy, which has taken ownership of the process of designing, developing and manufacturing warships, the IAF prefer to move away from HAL.

\n

 Hence, the IAF should ensure a steady flow of recently retired air marshals to head the organisation and make them conform to the its own requirements.

\n

 $n\n$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet India has long been the world's largest importer of defence equipment.
- However, unlike other large importers such as Saudi Arabia and the UAE, India has a well-developed <u>industrial base</u>, <u>skilled workers</u> and a large and well-qualified pool of <u>scientific manpower</u>.

\n

 As one of the world's largest automotive component manufacturers, and as a leading space power, India has demonstrated its ability to conceive, plan and achieve high-technology outcomes.

\n

- \bullet And as the navy has demonstrated, this can be done in the field of defence. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- However, in the field of aviation, it has failed to leverage its large defence budget to build capacity within Indian industry.

\n

- The situation has changed now and India now actively promotes initiatives to boost indigenous production.
- The defence ministry has ordained that Indian-designed, developed and manufactured weaponry will be <u>top priority</u> for procurement.
- A "<u>Defence Production Policy</u>" has declared that India will become one of the world's top five defence producers by 2025, with defence exports multiplying 10-fold to \$5 billion that year.
- But this will remain on paper until the IAF follows the navy's lead and starts developing an ecosystem of domestic aerospace vendors by ensuring the flow of indigenous projects.
- \bullet India holds a defence budget as high as the US, China, Russia or the European nations, yet ignoring its key domestic manufacturers. \n
- \bullet Thus, the situation must change by reducing our reliance on defence imports and pave the way for more indigenisation. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

