

## Promoting Innovations

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- India ranks 60th in a list of 127 countries on the Global Innovation Index (GII) of 2017.

\n

- It ranks 43rd among 45 countries in the recently-released International Intellectual Property (IP) Index, 2017.

\n

- The poor record on IP protection calls for appropriate governmental intervention.

\n

\n\n

### What are the initiatives in this regard?

\n\n

\n

- The National Innovation Council (NIC) in 2010 aimed at promoting innovations and making India a hub of innovations.

\n

- The key mandate of NIC was to formulate a roadmap for innovations for 2010 to 2020.

\n

- It submitted three annual reports to the government; the last one in 2013.

\n

- Sectoral innovation councils were set up in 25 major departments of Union government, including the ministry of agriculture.

\n

- State Innovation Councils were also set up at the state level, as a measure of decentralisation.

\n

- The present government has increasingly been stressing on its goal of promoting innovations.

\n

- The Atal Innovation Mission is a notable initiative in this regard.

\n

\n\n

## How has the progress been?

\n\n

- \n
  - Despite the efforts, the progress of the initiatives and the overall climate of innovations in India is still not considerably optimistic.
- \n
  - Despite efforts to decentralise innovation and making it part of overall governance structure, innovative ideas that could be scaled up nationally have hardly emerged.
- \n
  - Clearly, government organisations are not suited to bring about any game-changing innovations as they are caught up in routine work.
- \n
  - The work of NIC proved that innovations work best in a supporting environment, irrespective of the size or nature of an organisation.
- \n
  - However, the prime challenge is the government supporting and protecting innovations in the first place.

\n\n

## What is the recent impact of this shortfall?

\n\n

- \n
  - One obvious impact created by the lack of support system for innovations is the **rising incidence of illegal sales of Herbicide-Tolerant BT Cotton seeds**.
- \n
  - One of the biggest innovations in Indian agriculture in the last 15 years is the introduction of Bt cotton in 2002.
- \n
  - Mahyco Monsanto Biotech (MMB), which released the Bt cotton, also intended to release HT Bt cotton (an innovation in Bt cotton).
- \n
  - MMB thus applied for approval to the Genetic Engineering Approval Committee (GEAC).
- \n
  - But **before MMB could be granted permission, HT cotton was illegally pirated**, probably from countries like the US, Australia, etc that had already released it officially.

- \n
- These pirated HT cotton seeds were bred in India and several companies sold them in large scale.
- \n
- MMB had written to GEAC and to the concerned ministries and state governments of this illegality way back in 2008.
- \n
- The inaction from the government side led to MMB withdrawing its application, recently.
- \n
- And now, the large scale of this illegal HT cotton seeds trade has become a major challenge for the government.
- \n

\n\n

### **What should be done?**

\n\n

- \n
- The rising scale of exploiting the illegal avenues for commercialising innovations undermines the regulatory systems.
- \n
- Government should thus create an enabling environment to safeguard **intellectual property** of individuals.
- \n
- Private and public companies that develop new products and ideas through their own investment must be encouraged.
- \n
- **Regulatory bodies** need to clear the applications for innovative products (like GM mustard or Bt brinjal) with utmost priority.
- \n
- Delaying this process would only give scope for their introduction by pirates.
- \n
- Firm **IP protection laws** and stern action against illegal activities are essential to **boost innovations** as also to ensure credibility in regulatory institutions.
- \n

\n\n

### **Quick Facts**

\n\n

### **Global Innovation Index**

\n\n

\n

- GII is an annual ranking of countries by their capacity for and success in innovation.

\n

- It is prepared by Cornell University, INSEAD and World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO).

\n

- It is based on data derived from several sources, including the International Telecommunication Union, the World Bank and the World Economic Forum.

\n

\n\n

## **HT Bt Cotton**

\n\n

\n

- Herbicide Tolerant Bt cotton is an innovation in the Bt cotton.

\n

- It offers the twin advantage of bollworm resistance and herbicide tolerance.

\n

- In comparison, the approved Bt variety (Bollgard I and Bollgard II) is only bollworm-resistant.

\n

- It takes care of the weeds problem at a much lower cost than the labour farmers have to engage for weeding.

\n

\n\n

## **GEAC**

\n\n

\n

- The Genetic Engineering Appraisal Committee (GEAC) functions in the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.

\n

- It operates as per the Rules, 1989, under the Environment Protection Act, 1986.

\n

- It is responsible for appraisal of proposals relating to release of genetically engineered (GE) organisms and products into the environment including experimental field trials.

\n

- It applies to large scale use of hazardous microorganisms and recombinants in research and industrial production from the environmental angle.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Financial Express**

\n\n

\n

