

Protecting Small Gold Investments

Why in news?

\n\n

\n

- One of the leading Jewelries in Chennai has officially declared a financial crunch and has sought more time to honour its gold schemes.

\n

- This highlights that small savers need a safer alternative to the unregulated gold savings schemes.

\n

\n\n

What is the present scenario?

\n\n

\n

- A large part of population in India considers gold as a viable saving option.

\n

- Instruments such as Gold Exchange Traded Funds (ETFs) or Sovereign Gold Bonds (SGBs) have failed to attract savers.

\n

- Gold ETFs require the investor to own a demat account and be familiar with the workings of the stock market.

\n

- SGBs also has long lock-in of 5-8 years.

\n

- So these instruments are used mainly by informed investors.

\n

- Instead, the unregulated Golden Deposit schemes offered by jewellers thrives, especially in the southern states.

\n

\n\n

What is the design of the scheme?

\n\n

\n

- Every month, the customer deposits a fixed instalment as small as 500 with the jeweller.
\n
- After a stipulated time, deposits can be swapped for an equivalent value of gold jewellery, or roll it over for another year.
\n
- There is no interest offered on these deposits.
\n
- Customers are rather lured by freebies and discounts.
\n
- These schemes are usually not registered, yet they are widely mistaken as 'gold deposits' or 'gold chits' with government backing.
\n

\n\n

What are the problems with the scheme?

\n\n

- \n
- The unregulated nature of these schemes allows them to raise remarkable amounts without sticking to any end-use criteria.
\n
- This makes them highly vulnerable to the fluctuations.
\n
- Even jewellers with genuine intentions may be forced to default on payments during situations of customer panic.
\n
- This is direct fallout of the non-maintenance of buffer funds which becomes mandatory in recognised financial instruments.
\n
- Notably, jewelleries defaulting are also quite frequent which are then struck up in litigations and investigations.
\n
- These schemes have proliferated mainly because they help in accumulating unaffordable gold through small investments.
\n

\n\n

What is the existing regulating framework?

\n\n

- \n
- Section 45S of the RBI Act expressly bars unincorporated entities from accepting public deposits.

- \n
- Companies accepting such deposits are required to register themselves as NBFCs with the RBI.
- \n
- Post Saradha Scam, SEBI won exceptional powers to regulate Collective Investment Schemes that pool above Rs.100 crore.
- \n
- It has also issued multiple orders against Ponzi schemes promising astronomical returns from various investments.
- \n
- But gold savings schemes are out of the regulatory ambit of Ministry of corporate affairs, SEBI & RBI owing to technicalities.
- \n

\n\n

How are regulations being circumvented?

\n\n

- \n
- Either most schemes keeps less than 100 crore or aren't being reported to SEBI.
- \n
- Companies Act of 2013 tightened regulations and capped deposits at 25% of the company's networth and incorporated many other restrictions.
- \n
- But only large jewellers have reduced their saving schemes.
- \n
- So unregulated gold savings schemes continue to flourish.
- \n

\n\n

What can be done?

\n\n

- \n
- Stricter regulations on jeweller sponsored saving scheme is obviously necessary.
- \n
- Reworking the government's SGB scheme to make it simpler and allow savers to invest in instalments can be considered.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Businessline

\n

