

Rafale Deal Row

Why in news?

\n\n

Former French president recently said that the Indian government had "proposed" Anil Ambani's Reliance Defence as the offset partner for the <u>Rafale</u> <u>aircraft deal</u>.

\n\n

What is the current controversy?

\n\n

∖n

- Under defence offset, a foreign supplier of equipment agrees to manufacture a given percent of its product (in terms of value) in the buying country. \n
- Dassault Aviation (French manufacturer) has 'chosen' Reliance Defence Ltd (RDL) as the Indian partner to fulfil offset obligations of the Rafale deal. \n
- Both companies have already announced setting up of a joint venture to manufacture aerospace components. γn
- Former French President François Hollande has now stated that it was the Indian government that suggested the RDL. \n
- The charge has raised concerns of crony capitalism in the process of the deal.

\n

- It seems to be reinforcing the allegation that the deal was structured to favour an industrialist. \n
- The opposition parties have accused the NDA government of favouring the RDL over the state-run Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd. \n

\n\n

What are the clarifications made?

\n

\n\n

• Clarificatory statements were soon issued by the Centre, the French Foreign Ministry and Dassault.

\n

- The Indian government reiterated that governments have no role in offset contracts, which are purely commercial.
- The choice of offset partners is entirely that of the manufacturer (Dassault Aviation).

\n

- The French government also said much the same as what India said.
- Dassault's statement reaffirmed that it had 'chosen' to tie up with Reliance Defence.

\n

• But these clarifications did little to clearly address what Mr. Hollande had said.

\n

• The guestion that if the Centre 'suggested' a partnership with Reliance Defence remains unanswered. \n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

• Defence modernisation would become the real victim if the political war over Rafale continues.

\n

• So greater transparency is the only way to clear all the controversy around the deal.

\n

- Private briefings to Opposition leaders and the disclosure of all information are good starting points. \n
- This should however not jeopardise national security or impact the aircrafts' operational capability.

\n

• Besides, the decision to reject the formation of a Joint Parliamentary Committee to examine the deal should be reconsidered.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

∖n

