

Raising Defence Expenditure

Why in news?

\n\n

Demands for increasing the defence expenditure to at least 3% of GDP has come from various quarters.

\n\n

What is the current scenario?

\n\n

\n

- India's defence expenditure currently stands at 1.49% of GDP, stated to be at its lowest ever.

\n

- This is even lower than what it was prior to the disastrous 1962 war with China.

\n

- But this figure of 1.49% does not include defence pensions and Defence Ministry spending.

\n

- If both are included, the total defence expenditure rises to 2.16% of GDP.

\n

- Data for the past decade show that this figure, too, had been falling (2.78% in 2009-10).

\n

\n\n

How relevant is GDP a metric?

\n\n

\n

- The defence expenditure is currently 16.6% of the central government expenditure (CGE).

\n

- This has been stable in the range of 16-18% over the past decade.

\n

- But defence expenditure as a percentage of GDP has been falling.

- \n
- This is because CGE as a percentage of GDP has come down from 16% to 13% over the past decade.

- \n
- This makes GDP, possibly, a misleading metric for fixing defence expenditure.

\n

\n\n

What are the constraints in raising the expenditure?

\n\n

- \n
- **Capital** - Raising the defence budget to 3% of GDP would mean an increased allocation of around 23% of CGE.

- \n
- The increase would necessarily have to be on the capital side of the defence budget.

- \n
- As, salaries, pensions and other operating expenses have full fund allocation, with little scope to absorb extra funds.

- \n
- But defence capital expenditure is 33% of the government's total capital expenditure in 2018-19.

- \n
- Raising the defence capital expenditure to the tune of the proposed 3% would increase this ratio to 85%.

- \n
- This would leave the government with very little money for other capital spending.

- \n
- Notably, it includes that for infrastructure and asset creation, outside of the procurement for the defence services.

- \n
- **Import** - Most defence equipment is procured from foreign countries.

- \n
- So an increased capital budget would increase the defence import bill.

- \n
- This could, in turn, add to the current account deficit.

- \n
- **Tax** - The existing allocation for defence for 2018-19 is 27% of the total tax revenue.

- \n
- This would shoot up to 38% if the allocation is raised to meet the target of

3% of GDP.

\n

- This will require either an increase in the current tax rates, or a widening of the tax base.

\n

- Logically, both of these are difficult to achieve in the short term.

\n

- It will thus not be feasible to substantially augment government's non-borrowing revenues.

\n

- **Allocation** - So if revenue collection is not increased, defence expenditure can go up only if allocation to other heads is reduced.

\n

- But there is already very little for education, health, police and public infrastructure.

\n

- Notably, India is at a juncture of increasing the socio-economic expenditure manifold.

\n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- An increasingly large share of resources is going towards human resources costs.

\n

- This, resultantly, leaves very little for defence modernisation.

\n

- With One Rank One Pension and the new Pay Commission, defence pensions have risen.

\n

- This has increased from around 18% of defence spending in 2013-14 to 27% in 2018-19.

\n

- This stands unfavourably high in comparison with total civilian pension.

\n

- Overall, the share of manpower costs (pay and allowances, and pensions) in the total defence expenditure has increased.

\n

- It has gone from 44% to 56% between 2011-12 and 2018-19.

\n

- This increase has largely come at the cost of capital procurement going down

from 26% to 18% of defence expenditure.

\n

\n\n

What is to be done?

\n\n

\n

- The challenge is to optimise the existing defence allocations, instead of a quantum jump in funds.

\n

- A solution probably lies in fixing the current imbalance in the defence budget.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n

