

## **RBI faces Parliamentary Scrutiny**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- RBI Governor Urjit Patel met the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Finance recently, and was questioned on multiple financial issues.

\n

- At a time when most Public Sector Banks (PSBs) are posting losses, the RBI governor has asked for powers to exercise regulatory oversight over the banks.

\n

\n\n

### **What transpired in the ‘Standing Committee’ investigation?**

\n\n

\n

- The committee raised a lot of queries on wide-ranging issues — from the impact of demonetisation, and status of the Non-performing Assets (NPAs).

\n

- Notably, of the 21 PSBs, only two have posted profits in 2017-18, with the combined losses of the others touching Rs873 billion.

\n

- Regulatory lapses of public sector banks (PSBs) in the context of the Rs. 11,000 crore “Nirav Modi scandal” also featured prominently.

\n

- However, Mr. Patel refuted the notion that the RBI had failed in its regulatory role and shifted the blame on bad decision making by the bank boards.

\n

- In this context, he demanded greater powers for RBI to better regulation of the banking sector as whole.

\n

- He also vouched that RBI shouldn’t have nominees on bank boards in order to avoid conflict of interest between banking supervision and bank operations.

\n

\n\n

## **Are the RBI governor's suggestions significant?**

\n\n

- \n
  - The Narasimham committee on banking sector reforms in the 1990s had recommended the RBI should relinquish its seats on the boards of banks.
  - \n
    - But presently, RBI continues to nominate one or more directors to each PSB board from among either serving or retired executives.
    - \n
      - The P J Nayak Committee in 2014 had argued that the withdrawal of RBI nominees from PSB boards should be done in a phased manner.
      - \n
        - The RBI governor also seems to have reiterated this demand, which seems to be a valid one that merits serious consideration.
        - \n
          - The government has resisted the process so far as it feels that the presence of RBI nominees improves the working of PSB boards.
          - \n
            - However, it is time the government sheds its reluctance and facilitates the process to allow the RBI to ring in the changes.
            - \n
              - More powers to regulate banks is also a positive as it will make RBI's regulatory role ownership-neutral and bring public and private banks on par.

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n

