

# **RBI's Report on Demonetisation**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• RBI has said in its annual report that over 99% of the demonetised currency in November 2016 was returned back.

\n

• The new report has highlighted the futility of the whole demonetisation drive.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### What are the highlights?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• 99.3% of the Rs 500 and Rs 1000 notes that were withdrawn from circulation were returned back.

۱n

• Post demonetisation, the RBI spent nearly Rs 8,000 cr in 2016-17 on printing new notes.

۱'n

- $\bullet$  This is notably more than double the amount spent in the previous year.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- The value of banknotes in circulation increased by 37.7% over the year from demonetisation.

\n

• Also, compared to previous year, there is substantial increase in counterfeit notes of almost all denominations.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## Why is demonetisation unjustifiable?

 $n\n$ 

۱'n

• Objective - Demonetisation targeted those who had hoarded ill-gotten

wealth in cash.

۱n

• If these had been returned at a higher tax rate, the government would receive the taxes.

\n

• If not, it was expected that 15-20% of the cash in use as 'black' money would not return.

\n

 $\bullet$  This amount was estimated to be in the tune of Rs 4-5 lakh crore.

• So if not returned, it would count as a windfall gain on the RBI's balance sheet.

\n

• Because, unreturned money would mean a reduction in the RBI's liabilities by that amount.

۱n

ullet So the plan was to transfer this resultant surplus from the RBI to the Centre.

 $n\n$ 

\n

- $\bullet$  This was, in fact, the principal reason for the whole demonetisation exercise.
- Now that over 99% has retuned to the system, the basic objective has not materialised.

\n

 $n\n$ 

\n

- **Black money** Many converted the unaccounted money into legal tender.
- Unintendedly, the demonetisation drive itself became a tool for making white the black.

\n

- Also, given the logistical difficulties in penalising all of them, the whole purpose gets defeated.
  - \n
- Corruption It was claimed that the drive would root out and bring to light the corrupt rich.

۱n

• This was, in fact, the reason why there was less public agitations, despite the difficulties.

\n

• But having not fulfilled this promise, demonetisation has had no political benefits as well.

\n

• **Savings** - Demonetisation seems to have had a problematic effect on household savings pattern.

۱n

 Household savings includes the savings of small unregistered enterprises as well.

\n

• These units were some of the hard hit by demonetisation.

• But the household savings figure is at a multi-year high of over 11% of gross national disposable income.

\n

• In fact, households are holding far more of their savings in cash than in the year prior to demonetisation.

\n

• So the attempt to financialise savings has, in fact, been set back.

• **Cash** - The cash to GDP ratio has reached levels comparable to the period before demonetisation.

\n

• So the behavioural changes demonetisation brought in terms of holding cash were not as the government expected.

۱n

- $\mathbf{Impact}$  Clearly, the aims of demonetisation do not seem to have been met. \n
- $\bullet$  On the other hand, it has had many negative impacts, leading to  $\n$

 $n\n$ 

۱n

i. slowdown in growth

\n

ii. damage to informal sector supply chains  $^{n}$ 

iii. job losses in sectors such as construction (unskilled labour)

 $n\n$ 

# Had there been any benefits?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The benefits, if any, would be the increase in the number of income tax returns filed.

\n

• Demonetisation has also led to formalisation of economy and more tax collections.

۱n

• But these could have been achieved by other policy measures too and not necessarily by demonetisation.

\n

• Besides, cashless modes of payment have become more common.

• But financial savings in the form of currency have also risen, suggesting that people still value cash.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### What is the way forward?

 $n\n$ 

\n

 $\bullet$  The government must not disown its biggest reform attempt.

\n

• It should neither try to sidestep parliamentary scrutiny of the outcomes of demonetisation.

\n

• Instead, it could focus on fixing the problems that people still face.

• Transactions with Rs 2,000 notes in the absence of Rs 1,000 notes are difficult.

۱'n

- $\bullet$  This is indeed a departure from the currency denomination principle.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- As per this, every note should be twice or two and a half times its preceding denomination.

\n

 Besides this, the larger lesson that sudden shocks to the economy are less likely to yield intended policy objectives should be learnt.

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

# Source: The Hindu, Business Standard, Economic Tim

\n

