

## **RBI's Stance on Data Localisation Rules**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The government and the RBI are firm on the October 15 deadline for compliance on data localisation standards.

\n\n

### **What was RBI's order on data storage?**

\n\n

\n

- Data localisation is storing of data on a device physically present within the borders of the country where the data was generated.

\n

- RBI, in April, 2018, gave 6 months time to global payment companies to store transaction data of Indian customers within India.

\n

- The data should include the full end-to-end transaction details, information collected/carried/processed as part of the message/payment instruction.

\n

- The requirements apply as those mentioned in the [draft data protection bill](#) and [draft national e-commerce policy](#) framework.

\n

\n\n

### **What was the demand?**

\n\n

\n

- Global financial technology companies have reportedly sought an extension to the October 15 timeline, with demands to adopt a soft stance on data localisation.

\n

- But the RBI and the government did not favour any extension of the deadline.

\n

- The government has also ruled out data mirroring as an option.

\n

- Foreign payment companies had asked the RBI to allow data mirroring which would allow them to store a copy of the data overseas as well.

\n

\n\n

### **Why is it being opposed?**

\n\n

\n

- Any move to restrict all cross-border data flows could be counterproductive, on becoming a trade barrier.
- The norms could have negative impacts on the ability of companies to do business in India.
- Especially, the U.S. warned that India's policy on the issue will adversely affect American businesses in the country.
- It may undermine India's own economic goals and may not likely improve the security of Indian citizens' data.
- It could also break up the Internet if every country in the world insists on keeping data within its territory.

\n

\n\n

### **What is RBI's rationale?**

\n\n

\n

- The move was to ensure better monitoring of payment service operators.
- Data localisation would offer supervisory access to data stored with these system providers.
- It also gives access to data stored by their service providers/intermediaries/third party vendors and other entities in the payment ecosystem.
- National security and data sovereignty are other reasons for data localisation rules.
- As, it is possible that data could be indicted if it is stored on American servers and India faced US sanctions.

\n

\n\n

## What are the limitations to data localisation?

\n\n

\n

- **Global players** like banks, e-commerce majors, fin-tech service providers and credit card companies prefer to store and process data at one or two global centres.

\n

- So moving processes implies higher costs and disruption for them.

\n

- New teams must be hired and trained, and security procedures have to be reviewed and modified.

\n

- **Local infrastructure** in India suffers from severe deficiencies.

\n

- Indian data-transmission speeds are slow by global standards.

\n

- Server capacity is low and costs are high, and likely to rise as demand is artificially boosted.

\n

- So RBI's insistence may lead to a situation where smaller payment companies stop offering services in India.

\n

- It will also impose higher costs on the start-up ecosystem since any Indian start-up will pay higher costs to include payment options.

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Legal** - The Srikrishna Committee recommendations on data protection are now only open for public feedback.

\n

- So as of now, India neither has a functioning data protection law nor adequate security standards in practice.

\n

- Evidently, there have been instances of massive leaks and hacks of sensitive information, including payment records.

\n

- **Surveillance** - Law and order departments and security agencies currently operate in a legal vacuum, making surveillance another grey area.

\n

- They can search and survey all sorts of digital data without any checks or balances.  
\n
- Indeed, there is evidence that foreign intelligence agencies also collect a massive amount of Indian data and meta-data.  
\n

\n\n

## How is it elsewhere?

\n\n

- \n
- Data localisation is not a new concept but has picked up pace after 2013.  
\n
- It is when America's National Security Agency contractor Edward Snowden leaked classified documents.  
\n
- It showed how the US government had accessed data to conduct surveillance on foreign allies.  
\n
- Since then, countries like Germany have taken steps to ensure that sensitive data stay within their borders.  
\n
- Many other countries like Russia and China have very stringent laws around data localisation.  
\n
- It is largely driven by the fear of losing critical data to hackers and spy networks of rival countries, as well as systemic risks during times of conflict.  
\n

\n\n

## What lies ahead?

\n\n

- \n
- **RBI's** firm stance on sticking to the deadline is a welcome move.  
\n
- It should now send a stronger signal by imposing stiff penalties for non-compliance.  
\n
- **Sectors** - However, India should be careful in imposing data localisation across all sectors.  
\n
- Adopting data localisation in few sensitive sectors like financial services and

relaxing on e-commerce and cloud computing is valid.

\n

- E.g. in Australia and Canada, data localisation rules are applied only to specific sectors like healthcare, telecom and finance

\n

- **Law** - Data localisation rules must be backed up by a strong data protection law to clearly define and limit surveillance powers.

\n

- Policy measures to encourage the creation of higher server capacity and enabling cheaper and faster data transmission are essential.

\n

- **Multinational companies**, on their part, should take a more proactive role in following local rules.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Economic Times, BusinessLine, Business Standard**

\n

