

## **RCEP - Tariff Wars**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The ministerial level meeting for RCEP, scheduled currently is expected to see tough negotiations on tariff duties.

\n\n

### **What is the background?**

\n\n

\n

- Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) is a proposed free trade agreement (FTA) between the members of ASEAN and the six other countries - Australia, China, India, Japan, South Korea and New Zealand.

\n

- RCEP negotiations were formally launched in November 2012 at the ASEAN Summit in Cambodia.

\n

- The agreement is scheduled to be finalized by the end of 2017.

\n

- RCEP is viewed as an alternative to the Trans-Pacific Partnership.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the problems?**

\n\n

\n

- Rigid stands of other nations demanding India to remove import duties on more than 92% of all goods (both agricultural & industrial) is presently making negotiations unsustainable.

\n

- This would mean almost entirely dismantling the wall protecting Indian industry and farmers from indiscriminate competition.

\n

- Considering the disastrous consequences of such a move & the rigidity of others, India would find it difficult to reach a compromise and risks being

isolated.

\n

\n\n

## What are India's concerns?

\n\n

\n

- While New Delhi was willing to eliminate tariffs on a considerable number of items on a country to country basis, it is being pressured into treating all members equally, along with almost complete tariff elimination.

\n

- For a country like India, with a large 'sensitive agricultural setup' and labour-intensive industrial sector, bending to such exorbitant demands is impossible to meet.

\n

- **China Fear** - The biggest of worry is the unhindered flow of goods from China with which India already has an annual trade deficit of over \$50 billion.

\n

- A Free Trade Agreement (FTA) with no duties on most products could increase this deficit significantly.

\n

\n\n

## How has the negotiations progressed thus far?

\n\n

\n

- The gradual cornering of India by RCEP partner countries is reflected in the how the negotiations have progressed.

\n

- **1<sup>st</sup> Proposal** - India initially proposed a three-tier system for tariff elimination that was country specific.

\n

- The proposal vouched a reduction of - 42.5% of tariff lines for China, New Zealand and Australia, 65% for South Korea and Japan and 80% for ASEAN.

\n

- This was rejected by all members including the ASEAN.

\n

- **2<sup>nd</sup> Proposal** - India was then forced to give up its initial proposal in favour of a single offer for all with only a small caveat for addressing its vulnerabilities with minimum deviations.

- \n
- Over the past year, New Delhi has indicated to RCEP members that it could offer to eliminate tariffs on about 70-75% of items for all members with certain minor deviations.

- \n
- These too failed to satisfy the RCEP members & an increased market access for items like wheat and dairy was demanded.

\n

\n\n

### **What does India stand to gain for RCEP?**

\n\n

- \n
- India's expected gains in goods from the RCEP pact are not significant, given the fact that the existing tariffs in member countries are already relatively low.

- \n
- While India's gains in RCEP are to mainly come from services liberalisation, including easier work visa norms, the offers in that area have been almost non-existent.

- \n
- Moreover, many RCEP members are now insisting on inclusion of substantial commitments in the area of e-commerce and investment facilitation — the two areas where India wants to preserve its sovereign right for policymaking.

\n

\n\n

### **What are India's options?**

\n\n

- \n
- A free trade pact between the RCEP countries accounting for 45% of the world population and over \$21 trillion of GDP does seem attractive, but not at the price India is being asked to pay.

- \n
- India should not run the risk of putting the future of its industry and farmers at stake while getting almost nothing in return.

- \n
- There is a world of wisdom in exiting while there is still time rather than signing a bad deal.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Businessline**

\n

