# Realigning Urban Planning

## What is the issue?

\n\n

The unending problems in urban areas and the transition in India's urban spaces demand a renewed approach to urban planning.

\n\n

## How is the urban scenario?

\n\n

\n
- Over 34% of India's current population lives in urban areas, rising by 3% since 2011.
  \n
- Existing large urban agglomerations (with population above 50 lakh) have remained mostly constant in number since 2005.
  \n
- However, smaller clusters (with 10-50 lakh population) have risen significantly from 34 to 50 clusters.
  \n
- By some estimates, India's urban population could increase to 814 million by 2050.
  \n

\n\n

## What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n
- **Needs** - Cities are already downtrodden, with poor infrastructure and widespread poverty.
  \n
- Increase in urban population is sure to increase the demands for basic services.
  \n
- It includes clean water, public transportation, sewage treatment, etc.
  \n

- Also, there is still an outstanding shortage of over 10 million affordable houses.
- This is despite the government taking steps to incentivise their construction.
- The annually recurring floods in Mumbai, dengue in Delhi and lakes on fire in Bengaluru are reminders of poor planning.
- **Pollution** - Pollution in India's urban areas seems to have sparked off a reverse migration.
- Farmers from Haryana who migrated to Delhi and Gurugram are increasingly going back to their farms during winter, due to toxic pollution.
- **Governance** - One primary problem is that of the definition of what urban area is.
- Urban development comes under State governments, with the Governor notifying an area as urban.
- The parameters include population, density, local revenue generation and percentage employed in non-agricultural activities.
- The vague definition leads to discretionary decisions, resulting in a wide variance in what is considered a town.
- The Central government considers a settlement as urban if it has

  i. an urban local government
  ii. a minimum population of 5,000
  iii. over 75% of its (male) population working in non-agricultural activities
  iv. a population density of at least 400 per sq. km

- However, many States consider such "census towns" as rural, and establish governance through rural local government or panchayat.

- **Investment** - The low level of urban infrastructure investment and capacity building is a significant concern.
- India spends about $17 per capita annually on urban infrastructure projects.
- This stands low against a global benchmark of $100 and China's $116.
- Schemes such as the National Urban Renewal Mission have limited financing options, leading to inefficient implementation.
- E.g. some cities collect only 5-20% of their potential property tax
- Meanwhile, on the 'Smart City' front, India lags on implementation.
- Besides, urban institutions also suffer from a shortage of skilled people.
- **Policy** - Internal migration in India is very closely linked to urban transitions.
- Also, such migration helps reduce poverty or prevent households from slipping into it.
- But urban migration is not viewed positively in India.
- As, policies often blindly seek to reduce rural to urban migration.
- A deeper understanding reveals that preventing such migration could be counterproductive.

## What should be done?

- The urban local bodies should be granted the freedom to raise financing and enforce local land usage norms.
- There needs to be a systemic policy to deal with urban migration.
- It should facilitate the integration of migrants into the local urban fabric.
- City plans should be designed with a regular migration forecast assumed.
- E.g. Delhi - focus is changing on revitalising cities nearby such as Meerut, by building transport links and connectivity

- Lowering the migration cost, eliminating discrimination against migrants, and protecting their rights will facilitate development.
- The proposed new urbanisation policy seeking to rebuild Indian cities around clusters of human capital is welcome.

**Source: The Hindu**