

Regulating NBFCs - II

What is the issue?

\n\n

NBFCs and banks need not be treated in the same manner and hence sector-specific measures are needed to revive the sector.

\n\n

Click [here](#) to know on the basics of NBFC sector

\n\n

Click [here](#) to know more on the issue

\n\n

What is the background?

\n\n

\n

- Commercial Paper (CP) is an unsecured money market instrument issued in the form of a promissory note with a maximum validity of one year.

\n

- After the [IL&FS](#) default, the Indian bond market got very concerned about commercial paper issued by NBFCs.

\n

- This has translated into a tough stress test for NBFCs.

\n

- Bond market investors are now looking beyond credit ratings of NBFCs, which has resulted in better NBFCs getting capital while others are not.

\n

- NBFCs are fundamentally different from banks and insurance companies in that the NBFCs generally do not accept deposits, unlike banks.

\n

- Hence, there is no problem of an assured rate of return and the need to maintain liquidity for NBFCs.

\n

- This was also a major reason why a micro-prudential regulation on the operations of NBFCs was not deemed necessary.

\n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- **Lack of IFRS accounting** - International Financial Reporting Standards provide a common global language for business affairs so that company accounts are understandable and comparable across international boundaries.

\n

- They are the rules to be followed by accountants to maintain books of accounts which are comparable, understandable, reliable and relevant as per the users internal or external.

\n

- Indian NBFCs need to move towards IFRS to have a clear picture of market-based valuation of their assets.

\n

- This would help the market investors to analyse the stress early in the concerned NBFCs and would avoid investing in them.

\n

- **Usage of credit ratings** - It is getting too easy for an institutional investor in India to make bad decisions based on mere credit-ratings.

\n

- Though Credit-rating agencies are a valuable opinion on the credit risk of an issuer, regulators should stop requiring that credit ratings be obtained.

\n

- This will make Institutional investors to think for themselves in assisting their decision process.

\n

- **Failure of Indian bond market** - Heavy growth of mutual fund investments in India results in a sharp collapse in purchases of bonds issued by NBFCs.

\n

- Collective investment schemes based on bonds issued by NBFCs need to be illiquid (not easily getting converted into cash) so that a stable investment is maintained.

\n

\n\n

What should be done?

\n\n

\n

- When an NBFC balance sheet exceeds about 1% of GDP, its default can have systemic consequences.
\n
- Thus, there should be a minimal form of micro-prudential regulation that will assist resolution for defaulting NBFCs.
\n
- NBFCs also require a specialised bankruptcy code operated by a resolution corporation to pave the way for quick bailouts.
\n
- Some NBFCs have household investors, and thus a consumer protection mechanism to address issues such as sales practices and coercive collection practices needs to be framed.
\n
- Thus the RBI needs to frame an overarching mechanism to pave the way for sound information disclosure on the operations of NBFCs, so that a stable investment gateway for this sector shall be ensured.
\n
- Also, in the future, deposit-taking NBFCs could graduate into banks as and when their financial portfolio gets increased as that of banks.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Standard

\n\n

\n\n

\n

