

# **Regulation of Digital Giants in India**

#### Why in news?

A recent order by the Competition Commission of India (CCI) against Meta platforms reinforces the needs and challenges associated in regulating digital giants.

### Why data regulation is important?

• **Digitised economy** – In the 21<sup>st</sup> century, the economy has become digital and *data is* the new oil.

The **Economic Survey 2024–25** highlighted India's rapid digital transformation, and role of artificial intelligence (AI) in shaping the nation's economic landscape.

- **Virtually limitless utility** Unlike oil, data has virtually limitless utility as it can be *collected*, *analyzed and reused indefinitely*.
- **Dominance in digital markets** Data plays a foundational role in creating and sustaining dominance due to its unique characteristics and the competitive advantages it provides.
  - Data is both the source and the enabler of dominance in digital markets.
- **Influences consumer behaviour** Data is used to refine algorithms, offer <u>hyper-targeted advertising</u>, <u>and create personalized user experiences</u>, thereby locking consumers into their ecosystems.
  - For example, platforms like Meta leverage vast data pools from billions of users for influencing consumers.
- **Data-Driven network effects** More users generate more data while using platforms, thus enhancing the platform's value and deterring competitors.

What are the various orders of CCI for regulating digital giants?

**Competition Commission of India (CCI)** 

- It is the *chief national competition regulator* in India.
- **Historical background** <u>Based on the Raghavan Committee recommendations</u> the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act, 1969 (MRTP Act) was repealed and replaced by the Competition Act, 2002.
- **Established in** 2003, by Ministry of Corporate Affairs
- **Aim** To establish a competitive environment in the Indian economy by engaging with all stakeholders, the government, and international jurisdiction
- **Composition** Chairperson and *not more than 6 Members*.
- All appointments are made by the Central Government.
- **Appellate mechanism** Competition Appellate Tribunal was established in 2009 to hear and decide appeals against the orders of the CCI.
- It was <u>replaced with the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT)</u> in 2017.
  - **Issues with Meta** It found the *privacy policy update* of Meta's subsidiary, WhatsApp, in 2021 was an abuse of dominant position.
  - It <u>forced users to accept a data-sharing agreement</u> on a "take-it-or-leave-it" basis and thereby it gained <u>access to user data across its platforms</u>, including Facebook and Instagram.

**Meta Platforms**, formerly Facebook, is a tech conglomerate that owns Facebook, Instagram, WhatsApp, and other services.

- Orders against Meta CCI issued a landmark order imposing a <u>fine of ₹213.14 crore</u> and <u>forcing several behavioural remedies</u>.
- It also includes a 5-year ban on sharing user data collected on WhatsApp with other Meta companies such as Facebook and Instagram, for advertising purposes.
  - But NCLAT granted a stay on the 5-year ban and the penalty, subject to Meta depositing 50% of the total penalty.
- **Issues with Google** It was accused for abusing its dominant position across several markets including
  - Licensable operating systems for smart mobile devices
  - App stores for Android devices
  - Non-OS-specific mobile web browsers
  - Online video hosting platforms
  - General web search services in India.
- It was found to have abused its dominant position by <u>mandating the pre-installation of</u> <u>its apps on Android devices.</u>
- Orders against Google In 2022, it was fined ₹1,337.76 crore.
- The penalty imposed on Google was upheld by NCLAT in 2023.

#### What are the challenges in regulating digital giants in India?

- Lack of provision against monopoly India's competition law, namely, the Competition Act, 2002, currently lacks explicit provisions to address data-centric monopolies.
- Negligent focus on data-based dominance While <u>traditional frameworks focus on price-based dominance</u>, digital markets often witness dominance arising from data

aggregation.

• Lack of inter-agency coordination – Absence of explicit coordination mechanisms between the CCI and the Data Protection Board of India limits the effectiveness of addressing overlapping concerns.

## What are the regulations taken on digital giants globally?

- U.S. Antitrust litigation Meta is accused of creating barriers for competitors by acquiring Instagram and WhatsApp platforms, reducing competition.
- Google was found guilty *for violating the Sherman Act* due to exclusive agreements in search and advertising markets.

**Sherman Act** (1890) is the  $1^{st}$  federal law to prohibit monopolistic business practices and antitrust practices in the U.S.

*U.S.* Subcommittee Report on 'Competition in Digital Markets' called for urgent reforms in antitrust laws to address the growing power of tech giants like Meta.

- **Australia's regulations** Measures were taken to address the dominance of digital platforms like Meta and Google.
- Facebook-Germany Case Meta is accused for combining user <u>data from different</u> sources without explicit consent thereby violating EU competition law & GDPR.
- **European Union (EU) regulations** Meta is currently under scrutiny in the EU for its ad supported subscription model.
- Google has already been fined over 8 billion Euro in the EU for anti-competitive practices across 3 major cases.

## How India can regulate digital giants?

- The parallels between the global regulatory actions against Meta and Google emphasise the *importance of addressing data exploitation, vertical integration, and* <u>anti-competitive practices</u> through a multidisciplinary approach.
- Passing of Digital Competition Bill While India had proposed a <u>draft bill in 2024</u>, it is still under deliberations on certain provisions like ex-ante provisions.

**Ex-ante** provisions refer to future events that are based on forecasted predictions rather than concrete results.

- It is aimed <u>to prevent anti-competitive practices by tech giants</u> like Google, Facebook, and Amazon.
- Making amendments to the Competition Act It can <u>introduce "data monopolization"</u> as a parameter for assessing market dominance.
- Incorporating global best practices It can address the concerns, such as mandating interoperability and data-sharing agreements or separation of integrated

services.

- **Harmonising various regulations** Steps can be taken to increase coordination mechanisms between the CCI and the Data Protection Board of India.
- India could draw *inspiration from the EU's integration* of competition law with the Digital Markets Act (DMA) and GDPR.
- This could create frameworks that tackle data exploitation and anti-competitive practices comprehensively.
- Making regulatory frameworks dynamic It can <u>anticipate emerging challenges</u> posed by rapidly advancing technologies and the ever-expanding influence of tech giants.

#### Reference

The Hindu | Needs and Challenges of Policing Digital Giants

**Related News -** <u>Draft Digital Competition Bill 2024</u> | <u>Role of Competition Commission of India</u>

