

# **Reimaging Our National Security**

### What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- Our security discourse is focused primarily on special squads, better weaponry, satellite imagery, radars and transponders.  $\n$
- While these are indeed relevant, our counter-terrorist architecture needs to be flexible enough adapt to changing terror tactics.
- A rational analysis of whether our preventive machinery is able to match the changing terrorist methodology is required.  $\n$

\n\n

# How has Terror Tactics Changed?

\n\n

\n

- Lone Wolf a helplessness is growing in countering the vehicle-mowingdown tactics of Islamic State (IS).
- Starting in Nice (France), similar tactics were employed for attacks in Berlin, London, Stockholm, Barcelona and New York.
  \n
- It is dreaded as it is more innovative as "low cost terror tactics" as compared to earlier ones 'Al Qaeda's on 9/11".
- Notably, Over 100 people from Kerala are suspected to have joined the IS and many have moved to Syria.  $\n$
- Cyber Propaganda Counter Terror (CT) agencies are struggling to prevent the growing online terror propaganda.  $\n$
- Notably, none of the Western agencies or private watch groups could detect from where the IS sends their "online" messages.  $\n$

# What are the challenges globally?

\n\n

\n

- Prevention Even stopping people already on the "Counter Terror (CT)" radar from committing carnage hasn't been possible.
  \n
- A majority of the lone-wolfs (solo uncoordinated attackers) were n the radars of law enforcement for some or the other reason.  $\n$
- This signifies that merely intercepting data in bulks is no guarantee to prevent attacks.  $\n$
- This is because analysing the collected data is not catching up with the wide scope of collection.

\n

- **Prosecution** Yet another problem is the inability of national investigating agencies to successfully secure evidence.  $\n$
- Notably, thousands of IS fighters who had returned voluntarily or were forcibly repatriated from abroad haven't been prosecuted yet.  $\n$

\n\n

# How is the scene in Indian?

\n\n

∖n

- Linear Thinking Mumbai's law enforcement was focused on commando style attacks in the aftermath of 26/11 attacks in 2008.  $\n$
- But IED attacks (timed devices) restarted which was seen in Pune Bakery blast of 2010 and later in the Mumbai serial blasts of 2011. n
- Lacking Coordination Notably, our air defence capabilities were exposed in the air balloon episode at Ahmadabad airport.
- While it was merely an advertisement, it wasn't coordinated with Air Traffic Control and was mistaken for a spy drones.  $\n$
- Significantly, none of our security institutions took charge of the situation, which could've been a potential attack.

\n\n

∖n

- Missing Focus We don't have any reliable record of our overseas workers who are the source for terror recruitment.
- Notably, the former Ministry of Overseas Indian Affairs (MOIA), which was trying to collect such data during the UPA government.  $\n$
- But MOIA has been merged with the Ministry of External Affairs (MEA) after the present NDA government took over.  $\n$
- This has relegated the high-level attention that our overseas workers had to a minor wing of our vast MEA.  $\n$

\n\n

#### **Source: Indian Express**

\n

