

## Rejection of “special status” for Andhra Pradesh

### Why in news?

\n\n

The Centre has rejected the Andhra Pradesh (AP) government's demand for Special Category Status for the state.

\n\n

### What is the special category status?

\n\n

\n

- **Rationale** - The Constitution does not have any provision for categorisation of any state as a Special Category Status (SCS) State.

\n

- But the Centre has assisted some states with funds in the past, since 1969.

\n

- This was as allocated by the former Planning Commission body called the National Development Council (NDC).

\n

- The assistance was in consideration of the historical disadvantages of certain states when compared to others.

\n

- **Criteria** - The NDC granted this status based on some features such as:

\n

\n\n

\n

1. hilly and difficult terrain

\n

2. low population density and/or the presence of sizeable tribal population

\n

3. strategic location along international borders

\n

4. economic and infrastructural backwardness

\n

5. non-viable nature of State finances

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Advantages** - The SCS states would receive funding for Centrally Sponsored Schemes in the 90-10 ratio i.e 90% of the funds would come from the Centre as against 60% for normal category states.

\n

- The remaining would be funded by the state governments.

\n

- **States** - The NDC first accorded SCS in 1969 to Jammu and Kashmir, Assam and Nagaland.

\n

- Over the years, 8 more states were added to the list.

\n

- They are Arunachal Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Sikkim, Tripura and, in 2010, Uttarakhand.

\n

- These 11 states got the benefits of SCS until 2014-15 when the 14th Finance Commission proposed major changes.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the 14th Finance Commission's recommendations?**

\n\n

\n

- 14th Finance Commission headed by YV Reddy submitted its report in 2014.

\n

- It redefined the financial relationship between the Centre and the states for the five-year period ending 2019-20.

\n

- The Commission notably did away with the 'special category' status for states, except for the Northeastern States and 3 hill states (J&K, Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand).

\n

- Instead, it suggested that the resource gap of each state be filled through 'tax devolution'.

\n

- It thus urged the Centre to increase its share of tax revenues to the states from 32% to 42%.

\n

- If devolution alone could not cover the revenue gap for certain states, the Centre could provide a revenue deficit grant.

\n

- The Commission stated that Andhra Pradesh would end up as a revenue

deficit state.

\n

- It thus recommended that the Centre provide a revenue deficit grant for the period of the 14th Finance Commission.

\n

\n\n

### **What does the AP Reorganisation Act provide for?**

\n\n

\n

- The state of Andhra Pradesh was bifurcated in 2014 under the Andhra Pradesh Reorganisation Act, 2014.

\n

- The Act does not mention 'special category'.

\n

- But it does mention that the Centre would help Andhra Pradesh bridge any resource gap.

\n

- Under the 'Revenue Distribution' section, the Central Government may make appropriate grants.

\n

- It may ensure that benefits and incentives in the form of special development package are given to the backward areas of that State.

\n

- But notably, apart from the legislation, the former PM had in the Rajya Sabha assured that AP would be granted special category status.

\n

- It was said that Special Category Status would be extended to the successor state of Andhra Pradesh for a period of 5 years.

\n

- But the successor government (NDA) has been emphasizing that the 14th Finance Commission did not provide for such treatment.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the Centre's stance for AP?**

\n\n

\n

- The Union government is of the view that SCS category did exist when the state was bifurcated in 2014.

\n

- But after the 14th Finance Commission's award, such treatment was

“constitutionally” restricted and so cannot be accorded.

\n

- The Centre however stated that it was willing to provide the “monetary equivalent” of a special category state.

\n

- As an additional measure, the Centre has agreed to fund all externally aided projects in Andhra Pradesh in the 90-10 ratio.

\n

\n\n

### **What is AP's stance?**

\n\n

\n

- AP reiterates that Telugu sentiments and emotions had been hurt and only special category status could assuage them.

\n

- It is also maintained that the CM had only been asking for what was provided for in the AP Reorganisation Act.

\n

\n\n

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu, The Indian Express**

\n\n

\n\n

### **Quick Fact**

\n\n

### **Special Status/Special Provisions for States**

\n\n

\n

- It is to be noted that the Special Category Status (SCS) states are different from states with Special Status/Special Provisions.

- \n
- Special Category Status deals with economic, administrative and financial aspects.
- \n
- On the other hand, Special Status/Special Provisions empowers legislative and political rights and is offered by constitutional provisions under Part XXI.
- \n
- **Article 370** grants Special Status to the State of **Jammu and Kashmir**.
- \n
- **Art 371 to 371-J** contain Special Provisions for eleven states as given below.
- \n
- **Art 371** specifies the “Special responsibility” to Governor to establish “separate development boards”.
- \n
- This is in respect of “Vidarbha, Marathwada, and the rest of **Maharashtra**”, and Saurashtra and Kutch in **Gujarat**.
- \n
- The other special provision states are as follows:
- \n

\n\n

- \n
1. **Nagaland** - Art 371A by 13th Amendment Act, 1962
- \n
2. **Assam** - Art 371B by 22nd Amendment Act, 1969
- \n
3. **Manipur** - Art 371C by 27th Amendment Act, 1971
- \n
4. **Andhra Pradesh & Telangana** - Art 371D by 32nd Amendment Act, 1973; substituted by the Andhra Pradesh Reorganisation Act, 2014
- \n
5. **Sikkim** - Art 371F by 36th Amendment Act, 1975
- \n
6. **Mizoram** - Art 371G by 53rd Amendment Act, 1986
- \n
7. **Arunachal Pradesh** - Art 371H by 55th Amendment Act, 1986
- \n
8. **Karnataka** - Art 371J by 98th Amendment Act, 2012
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- **Art 371E** allows for the establishment of a university in Andhra Pradesh by a law of Parliament.
- \n

- But this is not really a 'special provision'.

\n

- **Art 371I** deals with Goa, but does not include any provision that can be termed 'special'.

\n

\n\n

\n

