

## Removing Deadwood from the Law Books

### What is the issue?

\n\n

Voluminous statutory books and democratic progress call for simplifying the existing laws and repealing the outdated ones.

\n\n

### What is the current status?

\n\n

\n

- The government has identified around 1,800 laws that require to be removed from the statute books.

\n

- In the past three years, Parliament has repealed about 1,200 obsolete and unnecessary laws.

\n

- A remaining of about 600 irrelevant laws still occupies the statute books.

\n

\n\n

### What is the need?

\n\n

\n

- With changing social, economic and legal conditions some pieces of legislation may have lost their relevance and utility.

\n

- Failing to tune with the progress of democracy since Independence, they may have now become archaic.

\n

- In the absence of a periodic review they continue to burden the statutory corpus.

\n

- Besides this, some obsolete concepts, notions, perceptions that underlie law-making also require an overhaul with development.

\n

- There is also a concern that these archaic laws could be invoked suddenly against unsuspecting and otherwise law-abiding citizens.  
\n
- A rationalising process is thus necessary to decongest the statute books and promote ease of governance.  
\n

\n\n

### **What are the initiatives in this regard?**

\n\n

- \n
- There are concerted efforts since 2014 for cleaning up the law books.  
\n
- The PMO set up the **Ramanujam Committee** to identify central government statutes that are ready for repeal.  
\n
- The Ramanujam Committee identified around 1,700 old statutes that were ready for repeal.  
\n
- This means that around 63% of central legislation could be repealed without affecting governance adversely.  
\n
- Also, there are four reports by the **Law Commission** on obsolete laws “warranting immediate repeal”.  
\n
- Besides, the **Repealing and Amending Bill 2017** and the Second Repealing and Amending Bill 2017 are pending in Parliament.  
\n
- In addition, a **100 Laws Repeal Project** by the Centre for Civil Society (CCS) was launched.  
\n

\n\n

### **What are the limitations?**

\n\n

- \n
- **States** - The Ramanujam Committee brought out a database of around 2700 existing Union-level statutes.  
\n
- Of these, a considerable number of laws were enacted between 1834 and 1949 i.e. before the Constitution came into being.  
\n

- These may be identified as “Central” laws, but after the Constitution, the subject matter may have moved to the states under the Seventh Schedule.  
\n
- State-level statutes are not part of the India Code listing (Central Acts of Parliament from 1836 onwards).  
\n
- Notably, in such cases, amendment or repeal can only be done by the state legislatures.  
\n
- Accordingly, the Ramanujam Committee and the Law Commission identified a total of around 150 old statutes that could only be repealed by states.  
\n
- Sadly, only a few states have done something about eliminating such outdated laws.  
\n
- **System** - A system of desuetude is where statutes, similar legislation or legal principles lapse and become unenforceable with lapse of time or non-enforcement.  
\n
- However, India does not have such a system in place.  
\n
- Notably, unless specifically identified and repealed, statutes are open-ended and remain on the books.  
\n

\n\n

## What is the way ahead?

\n\n

- Unmaking existing laws is as equally an arduous task as law-making.  
\n
- The role of State Assemblies is also significant and thus a permanent mechanism is needed in this regard.  
\n
- It is better to have a permanent commission in place to review the existing body of law and identify those that require repeal.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express, The Hindu**

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*