

Replacing the Accounting Regulator

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• Multiple corporate scandals, seems to be reflecting the failure of the auditors, which calls for reforming the regulatory structure for the auditing profession.

\n

• Notably, there are already plans for annulling the self regulatory role that is currently played by the "Institute of Charted Accountants in India" (ICAI).

 $n\n$

What is the envisioned replacement for ICAI?

 $n\n$

\n

- Several corporate scandals have surfaced in the past, where Charted Accountants had failed in their audit duties.
- This was again reiterated in the "Punjab National Bank fraud", and calls for better regulating the auditing profession has grown stronger.
- Notably, replacing the self-regulatory role of "Institute of Charted Accountants of India" (ICAI) with an external regulator is already under consideration.

\n

- NFRA The setting up of an external regulator namely "National Financial Reporting Authority" (NFRA) has been envisaged in the Companies Act 2013.
- While NFRA hasn't been notified yet, it is being touted as a powerful body that will lay down accounting and auditing standards to be followed in India.
- It is also envisioned to monitor and enforce adoption of the stated auditing standards, and oversee the quality of the auditing profession.
- Importantly, it will also have the powers to investigate the misconduct by

chartered accountants, either on its own or based on a reference made to it. \n

- Consequently, NFRA is intended to become the regulatory body that will comprehensively replace ICAI's self regulatory role.
- Resultantly, ICAI will become merely an examining and certifying body.

 $n\n$

What has been the record of ICAI?

 $n\n$

\n

- **Overall Record** ICAI has for long been serving the individual self interests of its top brass rather than the collective image of the auditing profession.
- It has long been ignoring the blows dealt to its reputation by fellow member's lapses and even downplayed them in the face of public anger. \n
- Notably, of the 1,972 disciplinary cases considered by the ICAI till now, only the auditors of Satyam have been permanently disqualified from membership.

۱n

• In a majority of cases where members have been found guilty, they've been merely reprimanded.

\n

• **Specific Cases** - Stock market regulator SEBI has alleged that ICAI hasn't cared to investigate the role of auditors in suspected stock price manipulation.

۱n

• Notably, SEBI had referred multiple cases to ICAI as early as 2015, for which action hasn't been taken.

۱n

- Also, Serious Fraud Investigation Office (SFIO) had referred 34 chartered accountants for suspected involvement in money laundering.
- \bullet In this reference too, there has been minimal action, with investigations commencing only against 5 auditors. \n
- \bullet The PNB fraud was indeed the pinnacle of failure as it got through multiple parallel audits like the branch audit and statutory audit. \n
- **Structural Flaw** Self Regulation is a concept where the regulated elect the regulator that governs the entire setup.

\n

- This model works well only if there is a sense a collective accountability in sector, which clearly seems missing here.
- Hence, the current state of affairs was completely crafted by ICAI's own making, which hence justifies calls for an external regulator.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Line

 $n\n$

\n

