

Restoring Oxytocin Sale - Delhi High Court Order

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Delhi High Court has quashed a government ban on the retail sale and private manufacture of oxytocin. Click <u>here</u> to know more on the ban.

 $n\n$

Why was the ban challenged?

 $n\n$

\n

• Union Ministry of Health and Family Welfare earlier notified the ban of oxytocin.

۱n

• This was after widespread concerns with the misuse of the drug in dairy cattle, fruits and vegetables.

۱n

- But the ban was opposed as oxytocin is a life-saving drug used to stop postpartum bleeding among new mothers.
- Around 45,000 women die from post-partum complications in India each year, and in 38% of the cases, haemorrhaging is the reason. $\$
- Without the easy availability of inexpensive oxytocin, addressing the maternal mortality epidemic could have been difficult.
- In fact, oxytocin had been listed by both the World Health Organization and the Health Ministry as an essential medicine.
- So the All India Drug Action Network (AIDAN), a patient-rights group, challenged the government ban in the Delhi High Court.

 $n\n$

What is the Delhi High Court's rationale?

 $n\n$

\n

- The ban came despite the fact that all statutory bodies, including the Drugs Technical Advisory Board, had advised against a ban.
- There was no scientific basis to the conclusion that oxytocin's existing availability/manner of distribution posed a risk to human life.
- So in response to AIDAN's and drug manufacturers' petitions, the court struck down the ban, calling it "unreasonable and arbitrary".
- It thus restored the retail sale and private manufacture of a life-saving drug, oxytocin.

\n

• The government failed to weigh the adverse effect, to the public in general and women in particular, of possible restricted supply if manufacture is confined to one unit.

\n

• The scarcity or even a restricted availability can cause increase in maternal fatalities, during childbirth.

۱n

 Notably, the Karnataka Antibiotics & Pharmaceuticals Limited (the only authorised producer after the ban) did not have the capability to manufacture it until mid-2017.

\n

• The Centre has put down licensed manufacturers with a proven track record, while roping in this state firm with no real experience.

 \bullet It had also failed to show that the drug was widely misused for veterinary purposes, the actual reason behind the ban. $\mbox{\sc h}$

- Also, though the Centre claims to have made 25 illegal drug seizures across India in a 3-year period, 12 of them did not actually find oxytocin.
- \bullet Among those that did, none involved licensed drugmakers. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\n$

What lies ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

• The whole oxytocin episode calls for the policy-makers to reflect on the process that led to the ill-conceived order.

- The basis for the Centre to overrule the advice of multiple statutory bodies should be investigated.
- \bullet The reason for the government to accept the reports of the drug's misuse without any valid proofs should be looked into. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- \bullet The country needs a relook on the mechanism for health policy-making, for it to safeguard the right to health of Indian citizens. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

