

Restrictions on Pakistan

Why in news?

 $n\n$

US Congress proposed restrictions on Pakistan for its role in fuelling terror in Afghanistan.

 $n\n$

What is the need for such restrictions?

 $n\n$

\n

- Country Report of Terrorism, 2016 has noted that Pakistan has failed to take action against the Afghan Taliban and Haqqani Network.
- \bullet These networks continue to operate from "Pakistan based safe havens".
- Pakistan government did not take any significant action against the Lashkar-e-toiba and Jaish-e-mohamadi who are responsible for terror activities in India.

\n

 \bullet The US is now proposing closer oversight of how US funds for Afghanistan are utilised by the Afghan government. $\$

 $n\n$

What are the decisions of US over Pakistan?

 $n\n$

۱n

- Sanctions The US legislation proposes imposing graduated diplomatic, military and economic costs on Pakistan as long as it continues to provide support and sanctuary to terrorist links.
- Assistance It plans for assistance to Pakistan for terminating support for all terrorist and insurgent groups.

• **Diplomatic ties** - US calls for working through flexible frameworks for regional dialogue, together with Afghanistan, Pakistan, China, India, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan and other nations.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Line

\n

