

Revisiting Adultery Law

Why in news?

\n\n

The Supreme Court has decided to re-examine the offence of adultery as dealt in Section 497 of the Indian Penal Code.

\n\n

What are the contentious provisions in Section 497?

\n\n

\n

- Section 497 of IPC criminalises the offence of adultery.
- It punishes the “outsider” who breaks into the matrimonial home through illicit relationship with one of the spouses.
- Contentiously, only the erring man is liable to be punished for the offence.
- The provisions give only husbands the exclusive right as an aggrieved party to prosecute the adulterer in a case involving his wife.
- However, a similar right has not been conferred on a wife to prosecute the woman with whom her husband has committed adultery.
- Also, the provision does not confer any right on the wife to prosecute her husband for adultery.
- Further, if the husband of the woman gives his consent for the sexual affair with another man, no offence lies.
- The law also does not take into account cases where the husband has sexual relations with an unmarried woman.

\n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- The provisions grant relief to the wife by treating her only as a victim going by the wide spread societal presumption.

\n

- There are questions as to why the prosecution under Section 497 completely dependent on the husband's word.

\n

- So much so that a woman can enter into an adulterous relationship if her husband consents.

\n

- Section 497 demeans a woman to the extent of her being considered the husband's "commodity".

\n

\n\n

What was the court's earlier stance?

\n\n

\n

- A three-judge bench had, in 1985, dismissed the argument that Section 497 was discriminatory.

\n

- The judgment said a wife could always initiate civil action against her unfaithful husband.

\n

- The court agreed that “a man seducing the wife of another” was the most seen and felt evil in society.

\n

\n\n

What is the significance of SC's move?

\n\n

\n

- Responding to a PIL, the SC had issued a notice to the Centre saying Sec 497 appeared to be “archaic” and not gender-neutral.

\n

- The decision of Court to re-examine the offence is an admission that it had earlier gone wrong in denying the discriminatory nature of the law.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n

