

Rising Inequality in India

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The research advocacy “Oxfam” recently published a comprehensive report on the economic inequality in India.

\n

- The report is glaring and calls for wide corrective actions.

\n

\n\n

What does the Oxfam Report say?

\n\n

\n

- A recent report titled “Widening Gaps - India Inequality Report, 2018” was released by ‘Oxfam India’ revealed the extent of inequality in the country.

\n

- It has been pointed out that while inequality was stagnant in the 1980s, it started to increase since 1991, and continues to surge at present.

\n

- This has mainly been attributed to the “lopsided” economic and developmental policies of successive governments, particularly after liberalisation.

\n

- Significantly, the wealth of the India’s Billionaires (totally 101 in 2017) was 10% of GDP in 2013 and has subsequently rose rapidly to about 15% currently.

\n

- Also, a large share of wealth of the richest lot has been attributed to “crony capitalism” rather than to innovation or the fair rules of the market.

\n

- Further, Specific policy choices favouring capital rather than labour, has inherently become part of the growth trajectory in India.

\n

- These designs along with accumulated inheritances has consequently, seen the share of the poorer lot dip continuously in the wealth matrix.

\n

\n\n

What are some of the specifics?

\n\n

- \n
 - **Regional divergence** - Differential wealth between states have existed even before independence and the Indian planning process had aimed to undo this.
 - \n
 - But the outcome has not been as expected as income inequality between states has been found to be continuously increasing over time.
 - \n
 - Hence, the rise in inequality in India is due to - growing income divergence between states and increasing unequal income distribution within states.
 - \n
 - **Caste Groups** - Among various social groupings, SCs continue to remain the most disadvantaged, with a significantly lower per-capita income share.
 - \n
 - Also, declining trend in the income shares for the ST group, with a corresponding increase in the share of others has been deciphered.
 - \n
 - **Religion** - Religious identities too has been found to be significant for an individual's access to basic services, and his ability to mobilize resources.
 - \n
 - In some cases, these may cause isolation, exclusion, and stereotyping of communities, which can impact jobs and livelihood opportunities.
 - \n
 - Significantly, smaller minorities such as Christians, Parsis and Jains have a larger share of income/consumption than their population share.
 - \n
 - But for Muslim populations, this is not the case and they even seem to fare worse than SC and STs in urban areas.
 - \n
 - The share of Muslims in national income (per-capita), has also indicated a decline over a period of time, both in rural and urban areas.

\n\n

What needs to be done?

\n\n

- \n
 - Economic inequality is more concerning in Indian than elsewhere as it

reinforces existing societal fractures along - caste, religion, and regional lines.

\n

- Apart from being a moral concern and vision of the constitution, reducing inequality is central for the functionality of Indian democracy.

\n

- To reverse this trend, tax collection should be enhanced through progressive direct taxation - such as introducing wealth and inheritance taxes.

\n

- This then needs to be spent on health, education and nutrition for the underprivileged, focusing especially on the early childhood development.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n

