

## **Roster Management in Judiciary**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

\n

- The Supreme Court has recently made public its Judges Roster, by posting it on its official website.

\n

- Moreover, the CJI will hear all PILs and cases related to elections, criminal cases, social justice and the appointment of constitutional functionaries.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the significance of the roster?**

\n\n

\n

- Roster lists out the allocation of case categories to different judges.

\n

- A fine-tuned roster will prevent two different benches from hearing the same kind of case.

\n

- It thus prevents taking divergent views at the same time.

\n

- Conflicting interpretations by different benches have earlier forced the SC to set up larger benches to resolve.

\n

- Secondly, roster will allow for effective case management.

\n

- Judges in India are not specialists in any specific areas of the law.

\n

- But they will be in a better position to dispose of cases, the more they handle the same kind of cases.

\n

- E.g. the SC has constituted a dedicated tax bench.

\n

\n\n

### **Why is the SC's move important?**

\n\n

- The ongoing crisis in the higher judiciary came to light with 4 senior-most judges of the SC. Click [here](#) to know more
- Their unprecedented press conference indicated their loss of faith in the Chief Justice of India (CJI).
- It related precisely to the manner of allocation of cases.
- In this backdrop, making public the Supreme Court's roster is a welcome step towards greater transparency.
- Four large high courts — those of Allahabad, Bombay, Delhi and Karnataka — also make their rosters available on their websites.
- It is unfortunate that not all high courts have followed this lead.
- The SC's move could help encourage the other high courts to do so.

\n\n

### **What are the concerns?**

\n\n

- How far will making roster public address the ongoing crisis of credibility in the Supreme Court is highly doubtful.
- **Mechanism** - The roster existed even prior to the one made public and was largely being followed.
- But the issue here is the absence of any norms or transparency in the mechanism.
- The CJI exercising the discretionary power to go beyond the roster and allocating specific cases to specific benches is the concern.
- This continues to be a bone of contention, despite the roster being made public.
- **Roster management** - The SC's roster allocation is far less detailed when compared to those of the 4 high courts mentioned.

- E.g. In the Delhi High Court, cases are divided between benches on the basis of not just the subject matter but also by date.  
\n
- In the Allahabad High Court, writ petitions are divided among the benches based on which local law they are concerned with.  
\n
- The SC's roster, on the other hand, is just a list of case categories allocated to certain judges.  
\n
- No classification or division has been made between the benches.  
\n
- It is quite clear that roster management is a bit better in the high courts than in the SC.  
\n

\n\n

### **What is the concern with PILs?**

\n\n

- The fact that the CJI's court will be the only one to hear Public Interest Litigations is also problematic.  
\n
- To be fair, PILs constitute a very small number of the total cases in the SC.  
\n
- No more than 1% of cases in the SC are PILs.  
\n
- This is even after including appeals from judgements of high courts in PIL cases and PILs filed in the SC itself.  
\n
- Nevertheless, PILs also, than most other case types, raise important issues.  
\n
- Given this and questions over CJI's integrity and independence, only the CJI hearing PILs is unlikely to inspire much confidence.  
\n

\n\n

### **What should be done?**

\n\n

- The continuing concern calls for laying down clear and specific norms to guide the CJI's exercise of discretion.  
\n

- This is also the demand that the four senior-most judges made.  
\n
- They have asked for a panel, instead of the roster being determined by the CJI alone.  
\n
- Proper procedures and norms for the preparation of the roster should be put in place.  
\n
- An internal mechanism, instead of just the individual CJI, can also ensure some level of continuity and consistency.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Indian Express**

\n

