

## **RTI and Judiciary**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

Delhi High Court recently held that RTI Act could not be resorted to in case the information sought for is related to judicial function of the Supreme Court.

\n\n

### **What is the case?**

\n\n

\n

- The court's order came on a plea by the Supreme Court of India, through its Registrar.

\n

- It had challenged an earlier order of the Central Information Commission (CIC).

\n

- The CIC order had directed the apex court to answer the queries of a litigant as to why his SLP (Special Leave Petition) was dismissed.

\n

- The SLP was regarding the termination of his services as a teacher, the challenge for which in the Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT) was dismissed.

\n

- His petition in the high court and appeal in the apex court also failed, and the review petitions were also dismissed.

\n

- Thereafter, he sought information under the RTI as to why his SLP was dismissed.

\n

- And contended that the same had been decided against the principles of natural justice.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the High Court's rationale?**

\n\n

\n

- Right To Information (RTI) Act would not override the *Supreme Court Rules* (SCR), when it comes to dissemination of information.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n

- Court emphasized that the judicial functioning of the supreme court of India is separate/ independent from its administrative functioning.

\n

- Consequently, for administrative functioning of the Supreme Court, information can be provided under the RTI Act.

\n

- And for judicial functioning of the Supreme Court, the Supreme Court Rules is the mechanism.

\n

- It includes right of inspection, search of copies and would be applicable for access to the documents filed on the judicial side.

\n

- The court denied the arguments that there was an inherent inconsistency between SCR and RTI Act.

\n

- The high court further said that a Judge speaks only through the judgments or orders passed.

\n

- And cannot be expected to give reasons other than those that have been enumerated in the judgment or order.

\n

- If any party feels aggrieved by the judgment passed, the remedy available is to challenge the same by a legally permissible mode.

\n

- It stressed that the legislature could not make law to deprive the courts of their legitimate judicial functions conferred under the procedure established by law.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the defects with SCR?**

\n\n

\n

- The Supreme Court Rules are not as effective a mechanism to access information as the RTI.

\n

- Unlike the RTI Act, the SCR do not provide for:

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. a time frame for furnishing information
- ii. an appeal mechanism
- iii. penalties for delays or wrongful refusal of information

\n

\n\n

\n

- The Rules also make disclosures to citizens dependent upon “good cause shown”.
- In sum, the Rules allowed the Registry to provide information at its unquestionable discretion, violating the text and spirit of the RTI.
- It is thus argued that the Supreme Court Rules are inconsistent with the RTI Act.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the implications of the ruling?**

\n\n

\n

- The whole issue is that the Supreme Court Registry wants to provide information at its absolute discretion.
- The high court ruling signifies the continuing trend of disregard for the RTI by the judiciary.
- The judgment thus seems to be strengthening a culture of opacity in the higher judiciary.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

## Quick Fact

\n\n

## Supreme Court Rules

\n\n

- \n
  - Supreme Court Rules (SCR), 1966 have been framed under Article 145 of the Constitution of India.
  - \n
    - They provide for regulating the practice and procedure of the Court, and the rules have the effect of law.
    - \n
      - SCR provide for a mechanism for inspection and search of pleadings on payment of prescribed fees.
      - \n
        - The rules were re-issued with minor changes in 2014.

\n\n

## Good cause

\n\n

- \n
  - Good cause is defined in the legal sense as a sufficient reason for a judge to make a ruling.
  - \n
    - It denotes adequate or substantial grounds or reason to take a certain action, or to fail to take an action prescribed by law.
    - \n
      - The term “good cause,” however, is a broad one, and what constitutes a good cause is usually determined on a case-by-case basis and is thus relative.

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu, Live Law**

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*