

Ruling Against Exclusionary Insurance Schemes

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- Delhi High Court has ruled against an insurance firm that rejected the mediclaim of a person, by stating contractual conditions.
- \bullet The ruling further stressed that discriminatory exemption cluses of insurance polies need to be done away with. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$

Why was the claim denied?

 $n\n$

\n

- The insurance claims of a person with a rare heart condition was rejected by the United India Insurance Company.
- This was because the heart condition was due to a genetic disorder, which isn't covered under the policy.
- \bullet Notably, insurance policies sold to individuals invariably contain a plethora of exclusions in the fine print, which diminishing their practical value. \n
- By its very nature, such exclusion defeats the purpose of the health policy and tilt the balance heavily in favour of the insurer firms.

 $n\$

What was the Delhi high court's ruling?

 $n\n$

\n

 \bullet When the case was taken to the Delhi high court, it ruled against the insurance firm, and stated that the rejection of the claim was discriminatory. \n

- The court has held that exclusions cannot be unreasonable or based on a broad parameter such as genetic disposition or heritage.
- \bullet The order hence upheld the insurance claim made, and noted that the case can't be seen merely as a contractual issue. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\$

\n

- Rather, it has reasoned this as an extention of the 'right to health' as derived form the Article 21 (Right to life) in the Constitution.
- Further, it was noted that 'right to health' is meaningful only with the right to health care, and by extension, health insurance required to access it.
- \bullet It also called for the elimination of all other arbitrary exemption clauses in insurance schemes to curtail people's rights. \n

 $n\n$

What is the significance of the ruling?

 $n\n$

\n

• This judgement is indeed a significant one and the central government and the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDAI), should heed to it.

\n

- They must review all the policies, and eliminate unreasonable exclusionary clauses designed to avoid claims.
- Notably, the government has already stated its intent in proceding aheadf with a universal "National Health Protection Scheme".

 $n\n$

How does the insurance landscape look in India?

 $n\n$

۱'n

- Several studies have pointed out that health insurance in India suffers from lack of scale, and presently covers only about 29% of the households.
- Even among those within the insurance bracket, the coverage is only limited

and the health-care system also lacks regulation of costs. $\$

- Also, there is an information asymmetry, and the insured member is usually unable to assess the real scope of the policy.
- These highlight the need for stronger regulations within the health insurance and hospital sectors in India.

 $n\n$

What is the way forward?

 $n\n$

\n

- This is a necessity to define costs, curb frauds and empower patients.
- Insurance law has to be revisited to ensure that there is a guaranteed renewal of policies, and that age is no bar for entry.
- It needs to be ensured that pre-existing conditions are uniformly covered.
- \bullet State sponsored insurance that is universal in coverage (which is envisioned in the long-term) could help in addressing the problems of exclusion. \n
- As a short-term priority, it is important to remove discriminatory clauses in policies and expand coverage to as many people as possible.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

