

Safeguard Duty on Solar Cells

Why in news?

\n\n

The Directorate General of Safeguards (DGS) has proposed a 70% safeguard duty on solar cells and modules imported from China and Malaysia.

\n\n

What is a safeguard duty?

\n\n

\n

- The provision is facilitated in GATT (General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade), 1994.

\n

- It allows a WTO member to restrict temporarily, imports of a product if its domestic industry is affected by a surge in imports.

\n

- In contrast to antidumping duties and countervailing duties, safeguard measures are, in principle, applied regardless of the exporting country.

\n

- The Central Government after conducting an enquiry, if satisfied of a potential impact, may by notification impose a safeguard duty.

\n

\n\n

What is the rationale for DGS proposal?

\n\n

\n

- While solar cells are imported from Malaysia, Singapore and Taiwan as well, a major quantity is being imported from China.

\n

- China's huge production and excess capacities of solar cells faced with hindrances in exports to the EU and USA recently.

\n

- It naturally had to find an alternative outlet and thus shifted its export focus towards India.

- \n
- The DGS move thus comes after a plea was filed by Indian Solar Manufacturers Association (ISMA) before the DGS.
 - It claimed that the surge in imports in solar cells had led to many domestic production facilities lying idle and incurring heavy loss.

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

- **Cost** - There are apprehensions that the duty, if levied, would shoot up the project cost by about 40%.
- The Indian solar industry is thus concerned about the project costs and solar tariffs going up on account of the proposed duty.
- Notably, the burden on account of the above would fall on solar original equipment manufacturers (OEMs).
- Sadly, the ultimate burden gets passed on to the end consumer.
- **Solar Mission** - The Indian government has set a target of installing 175 GW of renewable energy capacity by 2022.
- Notably, an ambitious 100 GW of this is to come from solar projects.
- There are fears that the proposed safeguard duty on imported solar cells would thwart India's solar mission.
- **Domestic players** - India recently lost a case in the WTO brought on by a US complaint against the domestic content requirement programme.
- The requirement mandated that only locally manufactured cells and modules could be used to build solar projects.
- The ability of the local players to compete has already been weakened by losing in WTO.
- Given this, while the proposed duty may help the domestic manufacturers in the short term, its sustained benefits are uncertain.

\n

- Also, for domestic manufacturers situated on special economic zones, the safeguard duty would yield counterproductive results.
\n
- Notably, solar cell makers in special economic zones account for about 60% of the installed capacity.
\n
- **Discoms** - Chinese imports have played a vital role in enabling bidders to quote progressively lower tariffs.
\n
- If the proposed duty is levied, the Discoms will be further dissuaded from signing power purchase agreements on account of the resultant tariffs rise.
\n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

- The decisions on duty should consider the country's manufacturing capacity and the prevailing energy requirements.
\n
- The government has to ensure that the duty is prospective in nature and not impact the ongoing solar projects.
\n
- The government should thus be aware of the possibility of a policy paralysis leading to slow down in new investments.
\n
- Chinese imports are a threat to Indian manufacturers, but a lasting solution lies in reassessing domestic duty structures and addressing other impediments to the sector.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Economic Times, Business Standard

\n\n

\n\n

Quick Fact

\n\n

DGS

\n\n

\n

- The Directorate General of Safeguards works under the Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue.

\n

- It has been created to conduct investigations for imposition of Safeguard Duty, Specific Safeguard Duty as specified under the Customs Tariff Act, 1975.

\n

\n\n

\n

