

Sardar Sarovar Project - A Summary

Why in news?

\n\n

The Sardar Sarovar Dam was recently dedicated to the nation.

\n\n

How has the project evolved?

\n\n


\n

- A small dam of 49.37m height was planned across Narmada in 1956 - but the project was subsequently dropped.

\n

- The Sardar Sarovar Project was proposed in 1979, that envisioned a massive 138.68m dam.

\n



- The project ran into controversy due to the enormous negative impact on communities and the ecosystem.

\n

- While the barrier wall of the dam has just been declared open, only 33% of the project canals in Gujarat have been completed till now.

\n

\n\n

What are the controversies?

\n\n

- \n
 - **Impact** - Full reservoir capacity will cover 40,000 hectare and hence expels 244 villages and one township.
 - \n
 - About 10 lakh people involved in diverse economic activities would have to be displaced.
 - \n
 - Vast tracts of forest stare submergence.
 - \n
 - Prime agriculture land and at least a few thousand cattle in each village will be lost.
 - \n
 - **NBA** - “Narmada Bachao Andolan” an NGO started an intense campaign against it.
 - \n
 - It elaborately documented the economic damages and the plight of the displaced.
 - \n
 - Its research on the environment & ecological implications of the project even won the applause of the apex court.
 - \n
 - **Court Rulings** - While the project wasn't stayed, Supreme court passed orders to address the greviances of the displaced.
 - \n
 - A Grievance Redressal Authority (GRA) was step up to receive complaints and pass directions to the state authority.
 - \n
 - Those entitled to land were sanctioned Rs.60 lakh compensation.
 - \n
 - SC also directed that all amenities, mandatory under law, should be in place at resettlement sites by June 2017 - an enormous task.
 - \n

\n\n

What is the plight of these being displaced?

\n\n

- \n
 - While the state & the GRA have defaulted considerably in facilitating smooth

migration.

\n

- Many of those eligible for compensation have not received them, but are facing pressure to shifting immediately nevertheless.

\n

- Rehabilitation sites are also not ready — they do not have drinking water supply, drainage facilities and cattle grazing lands.

\n

- They currently suffer harassment by the police and other government machinery.

\n

\n\n

How can the future be better planned?

\n\n

\n

- Development of power plants, dams or government townships will all considerably contribute to the economy.

\n

- But the larger question that needs evaluation is that whether it is worth the socio-economic and ecological losses that it might cause.

\n

- In almost every major developmental project, there is little concern for compensation and mitigation like in Narmada's case.

\n

- Evolving systems for ensuring better rehabilitation is a prerequisite if the fruits of development is to be shared equitably.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n

