

# **SC Judges Appointment - Concerns**

## What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

• The government recently sent back the SC collegium's recommendation on judges appointment.

\n

- It has raised concerns on the propriety of the Centre to hold back names from the collegium's list.  $\gamman$ 

\n\n

### What is the ongoing tussle?

\n\n

\n

• The SC collegium recommended a list of names to be elevated as Judges of SC.

\n

- Justice K.M. Joseph and senior advocate Indu Malhotra were recommended together.  $$\n$
- Justice K M Joseph is currently the Chief Justice of Uttarakhand High Court.  $\n$
- The government however approved only Indu Malhotra's name.  $\slash n$
- It however returned Justice K.M. Joseph's name for reconsideration.  $\slash n$
- This too was done more than 3 months after the Collegium had approved them.  $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- The collegium recently decided to reiterate its recommendation to elevate Justice K M Joseph as SC judge.  $\gamman \n$
- When reiterated unanimously, the Centre is bound to act on the collegium resolution.

\n

• This is as per the law laid down by the SC in the Third Judges Case of 1998.

\n\n

## What are the concerns?

\n\n

∖n

• **Power** - The government's decision to send back the collegium's recommendation was unprecedented.

\n

 $\bullet$  It is suspected to have been influenced by some political considerations. Click <u>here</u> to know more.

\n

• The executive power of the Centre holding back names from the collegium's list needs a reassessment.

\n

• **Seniority** - The Centre has selectively approved some names from a batch of recommendations.

\n

- It could make a difference to the seniority of the judges concerned.  $\slash n$
- Notably, seniority is the sole consideration for appointment of the Chief Justice as well as membership of the collegium.  $\n$
- Delay Justice K M Joseph's name would only be part of the collegium's next set of recommendations.
- This would include proposals to elevate the Chief Justices of some more high courts.

\n

- The collegium seems to address the Centre's concern on fair representation to all high courts.  $\gamma_n$
- However, it remains a concern why collegium did not send its reiteration to the Centre immediately.

\n

\n\n

## What lies ahead?

\n\n

\n

- The unanimous reiteration of the collegium may end the current controversy.  $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$ 

- However, the larger issue of differences between the judiciary and the executive needs attention.  $\n$
- In a judge-recommended system of appointments differences over particular candidates cannot be avoided.  $\n$
- But it should be possible for the two sides to minimise these differences and act expeditiously.
  - \n
- The onus is more on the government of the day.  $\space{1mm}\spa$
- It should resist from blocking the appointment of anyone whom the judges themselves have found fit and deserving.  $\n$
- The present consultative process in appointments should not be hampered by executive stubbornness.  $\gamman \ensuremath{\sc n}$

\n\n

\n\n

### Source: The Hindu, Indian Express

∖n

