

SC Quashed Ban on Virtual Currency

Why in News?

The Supreme Court (SC) has set aside a ban by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) on virtual currency.

What was the ban?

- In a 2018 circular, the RBI had banned banks from dealing with virtual currency exchanges and individual holders.
- This ban was based on the grounds that these currencies had no underlying fiat.
- The RBI said that this ban was necessary in the **larger public interest** to stop banks from providing any services related to these.

Why this ban was set aside by the SC?

- The court held that the ban didn't pass the "proportionality" test.
- The test of proportionality of any action by the government, the court held, must pass the test of Article 19 (1) (g).
- [Article 19(1)(g) All citizens of the country will have the right to practise any profession, or carry on any occupation or trade and business.]

What are virtual currencies?

- As there is no globally accepted definition, virtual currency may be regarded as a method of exchange of value; or as a commodity.
- It may be defined as a **new electronic cash system** that's fully peer-to-peer, with no trusted third party.
- There would be **no central regulator** for virtual currencies as they would be placed in a globally visible ledger, accessible to all its users.
- All users of such virtual currencies would be able to see and keep track of the transactions taking place.
- Virtual currency is the larger umbrella term for all forms of non-fiat currency being traded online.
- They are mostly created, distributed and accepted in local virtual networks.

Why did the RBI ban virtual currencies?

- The RBI flagged its concerns on trade and use of the currency due to,
 - 1. Lack of any underlying fiat,
 - 2. The episodes of excessive volatility in their value,
 - 3. Their anonymous nature which goes against global money-laundering rules,
 - 4. Risks and concerns about data security and consumer protection,
 - 5. Its potential impact on the effectiveness of monetary policy.
- The RBI argued in the SC that these currencies weren't safe for use due to a significant shoot in the valuation of many virtual currencies and rapid growth in initial coin offerings.

What did the petitioners say?

- The petitioners included virtual currency exchanges operational in the country.
- They told the SC that the **RBI action was outside its purview** as the non-fiat currency was not a currency as such.
- Arguing that the ban was solely on "moral grounds", the petitioners said the RBI should have adopted a **wait-and-watch approach**.

What did the SC rule?

- In its judgment, the SC held that the RBI directive came up short on the fiveprong test to check proportionality.
- The court did not agree, however, with any other submission made by the petitioners.
- The court said that the RBI could not be faulted for not adopting a "light-touch" approach as adopted by the developed economies.
- Therefore, the court said that it won't test the correctness of the measure taken by RBI on the basis of the approach adopted by other countries.
- The verdict removes the arbitrariness of regulatory actions without disregarding the power of RBI to regulate.

What happens now?

- The SC's judgment could lead to the RBI rethinking its policies surrounding virtual currencies.
- If rethought, the RBI may come up with a new framework that deals with the reality of these technological advancements.
- The decision will help those investors who had used this money through banking channels.

Source: The Indian Express

Quick Facts

Five-prong Test to check Proportionality

- Direct and immediate impact upon fundamental rights.
- The larger public interest sought to be ensured.
- Necessity to restrict citizens' freedom.
- Inherent pernicious nature of the act prohibited or its capacity or tendency to be harmful to the general public.
- The possibility of achieving the same object by imposing a less drastic restraint.

