

## SC Verdict on Honour Killing

### Why in news?

\n\n

Supreme Court in its recent verdict has upheld the choice of consenting adults to love and marry as a part of their fundamental rights.

\n\n

### What is the verdict?

\n\n

\n

- The judgment came on a petition filed by NGO Shakti Vahini to curb honour crimes.

\n

- The court held that the consent of the family, community or clan is not necessary for marriage.

\n

- This is to safeguard young couples under threat for marrying outside their caste or religion.

\n

- The Court also issued a set of guidelines for the authorities, to stop interference by khap panchayats. (Click [here](#) for SC Guidelines)

\n

- The guidelines issued by the Court will be in place till the government comes up with a law.

\n

\n\n

### Why are khap panchayats a concern?

\n\n

\n

- Khap panchayats are the self-appointed village courts.

\n

- Khap panchayats wield enormous influence in villages of several states if India.

\n

- **Honour Killings** - They are often seen responsible for the growing number of honour killings in the country.  
\n
- They group against young couples to force their presence and dictate punishments.  
\n
- **Sense of honour** - These “feudalistic” entities have no reluctance in committing crimes.  
\n
- The "sense of honour" perpetuates the idea of not to marry outside one's caste, clan or religion.  
\n
- Masculine dominance becomes the sole governing factor of perceptive honour.  
\n
- The police turn a blind eye and the administration hardly questions them.  
\n
- **Women** - Women are treated by the khaps as servile persons who have no desire for autonomy.  
\n
- Their families are either silent spectators or active participants in their torture.  
\n
- To highlight the terror inflicted on women, the Supreme Court gave a list of actions which trigger honour-based crimes.  
\n
- They include  
\n

\n\n

- \n
- i. loss of virginity outside marriage  
\n
- ii. unapproved relationships  
\n
- iii. refusing an arranged marriage  
\n
- iv. asking for divorce  
\n
- v. demanding custody of children after divorce  
\n
- vi. causing scandal or gossip in the community  
\n
- vii. even “falling victim to rape”  
\n

\n\n

## **What are the Court's observations on this?**

\n\n

- \n
  - The apex court ridiculed the “elevated sense of honour” of elders, the collective and khap panchayats.
- \n
  - It observed honour killing that guillotines individual liberty, freedom of choice and one’s own perception of choice.
- \n
  - The human rights of a person are not mortgaged to the so-called honour of the family or clan or the collective.
- \n
  - Khap panchayats were condemned for their belief that their duty is sanctified and their action of punishing the hapless victims is inviolable.
- \n
  - It termed the elders, presiding over murder in broad daylight, as “patriarchal monarchs”.
- \n
  - The verdict thus comes down heavily on crimes committed in the name of honour.

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

