

## **SC's Push for Further Electoral Reforms**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- Supreme Court has recently mandated candidates aspiring to contest elections to also disclose their source of income in addition to other aspects.

\n

- This is in line with plethora of court directives in the same domain.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the significant aspects of the verdict?**

\n\n

\n

- Pronouncing the verdict on a petition filed by the NGO, Lok Prahari, SC ordered one more directive for further refining the electoral process.

\n

- Adding to the growing body of judicially inspired electoral reforms, the SC has asked for the additional disclosure of income source by aspiring candidates.

\n

- The Centre has been asked to legislate on the same, along with the mandating the disclosure of wealth of the candidates, their spouse and dependants.

\n

- Further, SC has vouched for a permanent mechanism to investigate any disproportionate increase in the assets of lawmakers while in office.

\n

- The court has also stressed that non-disclosure of assets and their sources would amount to “corrupt practice” under Representation of People Act, 1951.

\n

- As the order might be perceived as judicial overreach into the lawmaking arena, the court has asked the parliament to legislate on its directives.

\n

\n\n

## **What forms the basis for a judicial intervention?**

\n\n

- \n
- The act of voting is an expression of free speech, and that voters are rightfully required to be informed of all relevant details.
- \n
- This logic had nudged the demand for candidates should furnish details of any criminal antecedents, educational qualifications and assets.
- \n
- If disclosure of assets is mandatory, it is only logical to expect that the sources of income are also revealed, to establish conformity.
- \n
- The importance for this clause is furthered by the fact that dramatic increase of assets is seen for many candidates in every successive election.
- \n
- Notably, previous judicial orders drove the conception of 'NOTA' and the immediate disqualification of politicians from office upon conviction.
- \n

\n\n

## **How does the future look?**

\n\n

- \n
- Lawmakers amassing wealth through unethical means are concerns that need to be addressed through new norms.
- \n
- The idea of a permanent mechanism to collect data about the assets of legislators and periodically examine them is laudable.
- \n
- The court also envisions a body that would make recommendations for prosecution or disqualification based on its own findings.
- \n
- It is now upon the Centre and the Election Commission to work out the modalities and powers for such authorities.
- \n
- The larger message from the verdict is that a fully informed electorate and transparent candidature will be key components of future elections in India.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*