

## SEBI's order on shell companies

### What is the issue?

\n\n

The recent order of SEBI to suspend the trading of suspected shell companies is alleged to be a baseless decision without any proper investigation.

\n\n

### What is the case?

\n\n

\n

- A shell company serves as a medium for business transactions without itself having any significant assets or operations.

\n

- They are also used for tax evasion or tax avoidance.

\n

- The **Ministry of Corporate Affairs** shared a list of 331 listed companies that are suspected to be shell entities.

\n

- This was after consultations with the Serious Fraud Investigation Office and the Income Tax department.

\n

- It directed **SEBI** to investigate the companies and take necessary action against them under the SEBI Act.

\n

- SEBI, subsequently, ordered to suspend trading in 331 suspected shell companies' shares.

\n

- It also placed them on a strict watch under its Graded Surveillance Measure **(GSM) framework**.

\n

- Following this, the Securities Appellate Tribunal (**SAT**) has ordered the **lifting of the trading restrictions** imposed on two of the 331 companies.

\n

- SAT has also questioned SEBI for passing an order "without any investigation".

\n

\n\n

## What was SEBI's rationale?

\n\n

- \n
- It is suspected that trading on the shares of these “shell” companies was used as a way to **launder black money**.
- \n
- Since demonetisation the Centre has deregistered well over 1,60,000 dormant companies, identified over 37,000 shell firms and over 3,00,000 firms engaged in suspicious dealings.
- \n
- The decision comes as a measure to ensure a sound business environment.
- \n

\n\n

## What is the impact?

\n\n

- \n
- The government’s resolve to act against dodgy companies is valid.
- \n
- However, the present move has failed to give suspect companies an adequate chance to explain their positions.
- \n
- Not all shell companies are illegal. Some were formed to raise funds to promote start-ups.
- \n
- The economic costs of freezing the trading are disproportionate with the proposed benefits of such action as stocks witnessed a sharp fall after the order.
- \n
- A hasty order without an independent investigation has dealt a serious blow to SEBI's credibility.
- \n
- Though, the SAT order has brought some fairness to the entire proceedings, SEBI and the government must give a convincing rationale behind their actions.
- \n

\n\n

## Quick Facts

\n\n

## **SEBI**

\n\n

\n

- The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) is responsible for protecting the interests of investors in securities, to promote and to regulate the securities market.

\n

\n\n

## **SAT**

\n\n

\n

- Securities Appellate Tribunal (SAT) is a statutory body established under the provisions of Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992.

\n

- It is a three-member tribunal to hear and dispose appeals against orders passed by the Securities and Exchange Board of India.

\n

- A second appeal lies directly to the Supreme Court.

\n

\n\n

## **Graded Surveillance Measure (GSM)**

\n\n

\n

- SEBI and stock exchanges had introduced the graded surveillance measure framework which came into force from March, 2017.

\n

- This is to monitor securities which have witnessed abnormal price rise not commensurate with their financial health and other fundamentals.

\n

- SEBI may lay additional restrictions on market participants dealing in identified securities subject to the satisfaction of certain criteria.

\n

- At present, there are six stages defined under GSM framework. Surveillance action has been defined for each stage.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

