

Securing Fugitive Offenders

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- Fugitive Offenders are those who've migrated elsewhere to escape prosecution in a particular jurisdiction.
- India has moved the UK for extradition of Vijay Mallya, and Interpol for a 'Red Corner Notice' (RCN) against Nirav Modi (also in UK soil).

 $n\$

What is the progress in the Nirav Modi case?

 $n\n$

۱n

- UK authorities have confirmed that Nirav Modi is residing in their soil and India has sought an RCN from Interpol to restrict his movement.
- But RCN alone can't bring him back to India, as that requires India to send an extradition request to UK.
- Extradition would involve a legal process where the accused is provisionally arrested and a case is initiated to validate charges against the accused.
- Contrarily, if UK authorities agree to deport him, then the accused can be secured without a trial and the lengthy extradition process.

 $n\$

What are the rules for provisional arrest and extradition?

 $n\n$

\n

 \bullet India's treaty partners — which include the UK — have an obligation to consider requests for provisional arrest. \n • In the absence of a treaty, India can still make a request, which the other country will decide in accordance with its laws.

۱n

- \bullet This may be followed by a detailed presentation requesting extradition. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- The concerned law enforcement agency in India prepares the request, which is then forwarded to the concerned authority of the other country.

 $n\n$

What offences are covered under extradition treaties?

 $n\n$

\n

• Most treaties seem to follow at least five principles:

 $n\n$

\n

- Extradition applies only to offences stipulated as extraditable
- ullet The offences must be covered under the national laws of both countries \n
- The requested country must be satisfied of a prima facie case
- The person must be tried only for the offence specified in extradition \n
- There must be a fair trial. \n

 $n\n$

What is the nature of the India-UK Extradition Treaty?

 $n\$

۱n

- It was signed in 1992 and has been in effect since 1993. \n
- As per Article 2, an extradition offence is one which, under the laws of each state, entails imprisonment for at least one year.
- \bullet Presently, 10 cases of Indian fugitives are said to be living in UK and their cases are pending in various stages, of which the popular ones are: $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\n$

\n

- Nirav Modi for PNB Bank fraud case (2017)
- Vijay Mallya for unsettled loans (2016)
- Tiger Hanif (2004) for involvement in terrorism
- Jatinder Angurala and Asha Angurala (2014) for bank fraud
- Sanjeev Kumar Chawla (2004) for cricket betting \n

 $n\n$

\n

- Significantly, UK authorities have previously rejected Indian extradition requests in as many as 6 cases by citing the lack of a convincing case.
- More strikingly, despite the numerous requests, India has extradited only one person named "Samirbhai Vinubhai Patel" from UK thus far (in 2016).
- From the UK's side, it has been seeking the custody of 17 people through extradition from India - but has successfully extradited only 2 people till now.

 $n\n$

With which countries does India share extradition treaties?

 $n\n$

\n

 India currently has extradition treaties with 48 countries including - US, UAE, Hong Kong, France, Germany, Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland and UK.

\n

- India has worked extradition arrangements with Croatia, Italy, Sweden, Fiji, Italy, Thailand, Papua New Guinea, Singapore, Sri Lanka, and Tanzania.
- Notably, apart from Samirbhai Vinubhai Patel from the UK, only four fugitives have been extradited to India from various countries since 2014.
- \bullet But significantly, during 2002-13, 54 terrorists and other fugitives were extradited to India from multiple countries. $\$

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express

\n

